

**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**  
4(12): 1804-1833, 2014

SCIENCEDOMAIN international  
[www.sciencedomain.org](http://www.sciencedomain.org)



---

# The Effects of Diagnostic and Interactive Performance Measurement Systems on Organisational Commitment and Job Satisfaction: The Perception of Information and Communication Technology Practitioners in Hong Kong

Canon Tong<sup>1</sup>, Michelle Suen<sup>2</sup> and Anthony Wong<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Business, Government and Law, University of Canberra, Australia.*

<sup>2</sup>*Newcastle Business School, Faculty of Business and Law, University of Newcastle, Australia.*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Business Administration, Caritas Institute of Higher Education, Hong Kong.*

## **Authors' contributions**

*Authors CT and MS conceptualized the study and organized the Literature. Author MS performed the statistical analysis. Author AW checked the design of the whole study and statistical analysis, and also prepared and managed the draft of manuscript. Author CT read and approved the final manuscript.*

**Original Research Article**

**Received 13<sup>th</sup> March 2014**  
**Accepted 7<sup>th</sup> July 2014**  
**Published 24<sup>th</sup> July 2014**

---

## **ABSTRACT**

The rapid and accelerating development of information and communication technology (ICT) has caused an unprecedented expansion in Hong Kong's ICT industry and a simultaneous increase in the demand for ICT practitioners. With the intention of helping ICT-related companies in Hong Kong retain valuable employees, this research identifies the effects of diagnostic and interactive use of performance measurement systems (PMS) on organisational commitment and job satisfaction. The research adapted the measurement from [1] and [2] in diagnostic and interactive performance measurement, organisational commitment were adapted from [3] whilst job satisfaction with five dimensions of compensation was adapted from [4]. With a critical review of these related literatures, two research questions and five hypotheses were formulated to explore ICT practitioners' perceptions of job satisfaction, organisational commitment and PMS. An

---

\*Corresponding author: Email: [anthonywong628@yahoo.com](mailto:anthonywong628@yahoo.com);

Internet-based anonymous questionnaire, using measures adopted from previous validated research, collected the research data. Six thousand invitation emails sent to randomly selected ICT practitioners in Hong Kong provided 302 responses for statistical analysis. Findings indicate that diagnostic and interactive use of PMS positively influences the job satisfaction and organisational commitment of employees and suggest that job satisfaction and organisational commitment are correlated. The study also found that employees' position in the organisation and the nature of the business influences both job satisfaction and commitment, but that their marital status only influences job satisfaction and not organisational commitment; other demographics have no influence on either satisfaction or commitment. Results from this study provide insights for managers and owners of companies in Hong Kong's ICT sector by suggesting how they can formulate appropriate strategies to reduce staff turnover. The research contributes to the literature related to the appropriate use of PMS in ICT organisations as a means of improving organisational commitment and job satisfaction.

*Keywords: Diagnostic; interactive; performance measurement; organisational commitment; job satisfaction; Hong Kong.*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Background of the Research**

An organisation's survival depends on its operational culture and performance. Performance measurement systems (PMS) enable organisations to understand how closely their internal performance adheres to organisational objectives and strategic goals [5]. Consequently, organisational commitment and job satisfaction are associated with effective outcomes and have a positive influence on organisational performance; organisations that adopt an optimum organisational culture with a positive attitude enhance their performance [6]. Human expertise and technology have been advancing at an ever-increasing speed during the past few decades, resulting in rapid changes in human lifestyles. This is especially true of the information and communication technology (ICT) sector, which is constantly developing new and improved advanced electronic devices and applications. Due to the rapid expansion of this ICT particular sector, there has been a substantial increase in demand for ICT practitioners in most developed regions of the world including Hong Kong (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development [UNCTAD], 2010). The rapid and accelerating development of ICT technology has caused unprecedented changes in Hong Kong's society. The substantial adoption of ICT-related technologies, products and services by both the private and public sectors in Hong Kong, such as mobile phones, personal computers and the Internet, appears to be one of the major determinants for its success. Extensive use of the Internet in Hong Kong has enabled businesses and individuals to communicate, transact and transfer knowledge and data more effectively, enhancing overall efficiency, effectiveness and productiveness [7]. Therefore, it is imperative to study the importance of performance management systems to organisational commitment and job satisfaction of employees in the ICT industry in Hong Kong.

Prior research has drawn a relationship between PMS and the behavior and attitude within an organisation, which contributes to the achievement of organisational objectives [2,8,9]. Further, prior research on the effects of diagnostic and interactive management control systems (MCS) on organisational performance produced varying results, and no empirical

studies have been conducted in relation to the moderating effects of interactive PMS as a component of a MCS [1,10]. None of the relevant literature examined for this study addressed the different uses of PMS and their effects on individual employee behavior. Therefore, this research seeks to fill this gap by examining the effects of diagnostic and interactive use of PMS on organisational commitment and job satisfaction.

## **1.2 The Importance of Hong Kong's ICT Sector**

The increased adoption of ICT technology in Hong Kong has led to a substantial increase in spending on ICT products and services from both the private and government sectors. This may be partly due to the rapid increase in local demand for ICT products and services and partly due to the resurgence of trade following the aftermath of the 2009 tsunami [7]. Organisations that want to take advantage of the increasing demand for ICT products and services should deal proactively with the corresponding increase in demand for ICT professionals, who are an essential component of an organisation's effectiveness. Findings from previous studies suggest that different components of organisational commitment positively affect organisational effectiveness and therefore performance [11,12]. Previous studies have also concluded that employees with high organisational commitment have higher expectations of their performance and thus perform better [13,14,15]. Therefore, employee commitment to an organisation is a major issue for human resource professionals in maintaining organisational sustainability [16]. Although the research studies the situation in Hong Kong, the constructs used are adapted from studies conducted in other western countries in order to increase the global implications of the findings.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT**

In order to identify the research gap, this section reviews the literature relating to the effect of diagnostic and interactive use of performance management systems (PMS) on organisational commitment and job satisfaction.

### **2.1 Performance Management Systems**

As used widely to evaluate organisational performance [17], Performance Management Systems (PMS) are a set of metrics used to formulate strategic plans and monitor the achievement of organisational goals [18] and help management to identify areas requiring attention and improvement, monitor project progress, improve staff communication, convey management expectations, enhance accountability and motivate employees with rewards based on individual performance [19,20,21,22].

The diagnostic and interactive uses of PMS are two management tools that balance this tension within an organisation to help attain established organisational objectives [1]. PMS assist in the identification of areas that strengthen accountability, improve communication, motivate employees through performance-based rewards, monitor progress, and communicate expectations or areas requiring attention [19,20,21,22].

#### **2.1.1 Diagnostic and interactive use of PMS**

The diagnostic use of PMS can be considered the traditional monitoring of progress toward organisational goals with a focus on exceptions and deviations from set standards of performance for corrective actions and tight controls over strategies and operations [1,2]. It

is usually characterized by an extremely tight communication and information flow structure, which may hinder learning and risk-taking innovative behavior [1]. This monitoring device, which is based on pre-set standards and procedures, constrains risk-taking innovative behavior to ensure predictable organisational objectives are being met as originally planned and designed [9]. It serves to eliminate mistakes and negative variances [1].

In contrast to the diagnostic use of PMS, the interactive use of PMS facilitates risk-taking innovative behavior and promotes learning throughout an organisation [1]. When using interactive PMS, interactive processes such as top management teams interact regularly with their subordinates and engage in debates and face-to-face challenges with them during their decision-making processes [2,8]. These interactive processes allow dialog throughout an organisation and strategic uncertainty to be resolved, making the development of new ideas and initiatives possible [2,8].

[23] concluded that organisational performance is improved through the appropriate diagnostic and interactive use of PMS. Widener's view is based on the assumption that an interactive control system is interdependent with both the diagnostic use of a PMS and the boundary system. The interactive use of a PMS affects the diagnostic use of a PMS, providing the required mechanism that makes the interactive control system effective.

[1] revealed that organisational learning, entrepreneurship, innovativeness, and market orientation can be positively enhanced by the interactive use PMS. Conversely, there is a negative effect on performance capabilities when PMS is used diagnostically. Such findings concur with those of [24] and [25]. The relationships between the diagnostic and interactive use of PMS and organisational performance are not mediated by their capabilities. However, [1] considered that performance variables in the study were restrictive and were responsible for the non-mediating effect.

The diagnostic and interactive uses of PMS can be complementary [1]. The diagnostic use of PMS can be described as a single-loop learning process, which provides the basis for the interactive use of PMS and double-loop learning, which was identified by [1]. [26] considered that the diagnostic use of PMS is only a tool for performance measurement, while the interactive use of PMS is a powerful tool for strategies and plans development [1]. In view of the thorough and highly respected PMS-related work of [1,27] and [2,8,9], their relevant models were adapted for the purpose of this study.

## **2.2 Organisational Commitment**

Organisational commitment has attracted the attention of both researchers and practitioners over the years [11,28,29], mostly due to the consequences, correlations, and antecedents of commitment that have implications on work behavior and employee performance, including organisational effectiveness, job performance, and organisational citizenship behavior. The extent of employees' organisational commitment has a major effect on organisational performance [3,30,31,32]. Employees with strong organisational commitment tend to perform better [33,34,35]. Conversely, low organisational commitment is often associated with problematic issues such as high absenteeism and staff turnover [28].

### **2.2.1 Development of organizational commitment**

[36] concept of commitment has been widely used by researchers studying organizational commitment. His concept is about that employees would like to continue to work in the same

company until there is an opportunity of an exchange of value which would be lost if they resigned from the company. However, [37] commented that this type of commitment in terms of exchange of value is not about job satisfaction or career development but just reward to employees. The concept of [36] is known as a calculative approach to commitment. Contrary to this approach, [38] emphasized organizational commitment with attitudinal approach. Their model focuses on the employee's role and their involvement in the company they are working. Their findings also concerned about the feelings of employees. More recent work by [39] argued that employees' commitment to their company also concerned about their behavior towards other members of the company which relates to trust and group membership. Employees will have higher level of commitment to the company if they have a good relationship with their colleagues.

### **2.2.2 Western organisational commitment theories**

[40] suggested that organisational commitment comprises three behavior and attitude factors. They contended that an employee's decision to leave or stay with an organisation is based on the relationship between the employee and the organisation, which is determined by the employee's psychological state and defined as normative commitment, continuance commitment, and affective commitment.

Normative commitment arises from agreements or sharing of norms that result in an employee's sense of obligation to an organisation. Employees that have a strong normative commitment tend to stay with a firm because they feel obliged to [41]. Continuance commitment is an accumulation of interests within an organisation. This means that an employee bonds with an organisation because of additional interests such as seniority, concerns about family, and pensions, rather than positive feelings toward an employer [42]. The commitment represents the employee's understanding of the opportunity costs related to staying or leaving an organisation; a strong commitment from employees means they stay with their employers because they have to [41]. Affective commitment is an employee's emotional adherence to the identification with, and involvement in, an organisation [32]. That is, employees are actively involved with employers by giving something of themselves in order to enhance the wellbeing of their employers [43]. Affective commitment includes three factors that connect an employee with an employer: a strong belief in, and commitment to, an organisation's values and goals, a willingness to devote a great effort to an organisation, and a strong wish to retain membership of an organisation [43].

[44] suggested a model of commitment in terms of work attitudes which distinguishes normative and instrumental processes as behavioral determinants. He claimed that commitment could be defined as the internalized normative pressures of employees to act in a way that meets organizational interests. Employees' identification inside an organization and their values of loyalty are the critical determinants of commitment. [45] suggested commitment consists of continuance, cohesion and control types. He claimed that cohesion commitment is the attachment of an employee's fund of affectivity and emotion to their group.

### **2.2.3 Wang's (2004) organisational commitment model**

[46] suggested that communist ideals in China could influence organisational commitment, and that her model could be broadly compared with continuance commitment in Western research. Wang also stated the possibility of a phenomenon of organisational commitment that is unique to China, meaning that Western models may not be applicable in the Chinese context. [46] model is based on suggestions by [47] and comprises five components: value

commitment, normative commitment, passive continuance commitment, active continuance commitment, and affective commitment.

In [46] model, affective commitment is used to measure emotional attachment and is the same as in [40]; however, sub-dimensions of passive and active commitment are included, as recommended by other researchers [28,48,49,50]. In [46] model, active continuance commitment suggests that an employee is actively motivated within the organisation because of the availability of promotion opportunities or work-related training, which encourages the employee to stay in his or her job. This contrasts with passive continuance commitment, which applies when employees stay with their employers because they are unable to find another job. Normative commitment implies that employees feel obligated to stay in their job; Value commitment applies when employees share the values of the organisation and, as a result, demonstrate greater effort in their work to support their employers [46].

Prior to 1997, Hong Kong had been under British administration for almost 150 years, British business practices and colonial-style administration remained unchanged in Hong Kong before 1997 [51,52]; the traditional bureaucratic approach and focus on hierarchy ensured centralized control [52]. After the handover of Hong Kong to China in 1997, British rule ended, but Western culture was deeply rooted in Hong Kong. Although [53] found that the model of [46] has a better fitness to the organizational commitment behavior of ICT employees in Hong Kong, the [3] measurements for organisational commitment, which have been commonly used [54] in the West, were adapted for this study.

### **2.3 Job Satisfaction**

[55] was one of the earliest researchers to define job satisfaction, describing it as an employee's psychological and physical response to their work environment and the nature of their work. [56] defined job satisfaction as an employee's negative or positive attitudes in relation to their employment. Other research indicated that the measure of employees' involvement and identification with their organisation could identify job satisfaction [32] and that when an evaluation of the characteristics of a job creates positive feelings for an employee, this is defined as job satisfaction [57]. According to [58], job satisfaction is an affective or emotional response regarding different elements of work. However, other researchers asserted that job satisfaction is employees' feelings, including pleasurable or positive emotional states, toward their jobs following performance appraisals [59,60,61, 62,63]. [64] suggested that job satisfaction encompasses employees' evaluative, cognitive, and affective reactions to their job, while [65] defined job satisfaction as the extent to which workers achieve a sense of fulfillment and feel gratified from their work. [66] identified the elements of employees' expectations and characteristics of jobs and defined job satisfaction as the equity of various desired and non-desired work-related experiences. A final definition suggested that workers have a mixture of feelings and beliefs about their jobs and that job satisfaction can be measured as ranging from extreme satisfaction to extreme dissatisfaction [67].

### **2.4 Hypotheses Development**

In order to gain a better understanding of the interplay between the constructs of the diagnostic use of PMS, the interactive use of PMS, organisational commitment, and job

satisfaction, a set of hypotheses was developed to help address the proposed research questions.

#### **2.4.1 Diagnostic use of PMS and organisational commitment**

[24,68,69] asserted that organisational commitment is strongly related to the effective use of PMS, including the diagnostic use of PMS. It is expected that a similar causality may exist in Hong Kong's ICT industry. Therefore, it was hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 1: The diagnostic use of PMS positively affects employees' organisation commitment in Hong Kong's ICT industry.

#### **2.4.2 Interactive use of PMS and organisational commitment**

[24,68,69] asserted that organisational commitment is strongly related to the effective use of PMS, including the interactive use of PMS. It is expected that a similar causality may exist in Hong Kong's ICT industry. Therefore, it was hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 2: The interactive use of PMS positively affects employees' organisation commitment in Hong Kong's ICT industry.

#### **2.4.3 Diagnostic use of PMS and job satisfaction**

[24,68,69] asserted that the job satisfaction of employees is strongly related to the effective use of PMS, including the diagnostic use of PMS. It is expected that a similar causality may exist in Hong Kong's ICT industry. Therefore, it was hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 3: The diagnostic use of PMS positively affects employees' job satisfaction in Hong Kong's ICT industry.

#### **2.4.4 Interactive use of PMS and job satisfaction**

[24,68,69] asserted that the job satisfaction of employees is strongly related to the effective use of PMS, including the interactive use of PMS. It is expected that a similar causality may exist in Hong Kong's ICT industry. Therefore, it was hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 4: The interactive use of PMS positively affects employees' job satisfaction in Hong Kong's ICT industry.

#### **2.4.5 Organisation commitment and job satisfaction**

Previous studies revealed a correlation between employee commitment and job satisfaction, such as the study of hospital nurses by [70], which argued that job satisfaction can predict organisational commitment. [71] found that job satisfaction can predict whether employees intend to leave an organisation, as well as their level of organisational commitment. Organisational commitment is strongly related to job satisfaction [72,73,74,75]. The absence of job satisfaction often leads to reduced organisational commitment [76] and increased staff turnover [77,78].

[79] revealed that organisational commitment was directly correlated with intent to leave and job satisfaction. The same research confirmed that organisational commitment predicts job

satisfaction, but job satisfaction is unable to predict organisational commitment. These results differ from those of studies in the West. To gain a better understanding of the interplay between these two constructs, it was hypothesized that:

Hypothesis 5: Employees' organisational commitment and job satisfaction are positively correlated in Hong Kong's ICT industry.

## **2.5 Research Model**

Based on the literature review, the following two research questions were posed:

1. What is the relationship between the use of PMS, organisational commitment, and job satisfaction in Hong Kong's ICT sector?
2. What are the effects of different PMS (diagnostic and interactive) on organisational commitment and job satisfaction in Hong Kong's ICT sector?

Based on the research questions and the hypotheses developed in relation to the concepts of diagnostic and interactive use of PMS, organisational commitment, and job satisfaction, five hypotheses were developed. Fig. 1 shows the research model of this study.

## **3. METHODOLOGY**

### **3.1 Sample and Data Collection**

The chosen population of this research was all the employees of all levels in Hong Kong's ICT industry. Names and email addresses of ICT practitioners—the potential participants—were randomly selected from ICT-related public domains in Hong Kong. However, to enhance the quality of this research, a target sample size of 300 was set. In view of this target sample size and the anticipated low response rate in this kind of survey [80,81], 6,000 invitations by email were sent out to recruit research participants. Final 302 respondents were received and the response rate is 5.03%.

The data collection method for this research was an Internet-based, self-administered questionnaire to collect the perception of Hong Kong's ICT practitioners on the levels of diagnostic and interactive use of PMS within their organisations and their levels of organisational commitment and job satisfaction. Six thousand invitation letters along with a participant information statement were sent directly via email to the mailboxes of the randomly selected potential participants who were invited to complete the questionnaire online and submitted their data to the database server. Finally 302 responses were collected. Potential participants were also advised that participation in this research was entirely voluntary and that they could withdraw from the survey at any time without giving a reason. As is common practice, the return of a completed questionnaire constituted implied consent to participate in the survey.

### **3.2 Research Instrument**

The research instrument encompasses five sections. There were four constructs in this research, which included diagnostic use of PMS, interactive use of PMS, organisational commitment, and job satisfaction. The last section collected demographic data related to the respondents.






Fig. 1. Conceptual model of this research: Effects of PMS on organisational commitment and job satisfaction

The diagnostic use of PMS was the independent construct in this study. The four questionnaire items for the diagnostic use of PMS were adapted from [1]. Table 1 shows these measuring items, the question ID, and the questions relating to the diagnostic use of PMS.

**Table 1. Measuring items for diagnostic use of PMS**

<b>Question ID</b>	<b>Questionnaire items</b>
A1-1	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to track progress toward goals.
A1-2	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to monitor results.
A1-3	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to compare outcomes to expectation.
A1-4	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to review key measurements.

The interactive use of PMS was the mediating construct in this study. Seven out of eight questionnaire items for the interactive use of PMS were adapted from [1], while the last item was adapted from [2]. This was because of its appropriateness in the circumstances. Table 2 shows these measuring items, the question ID, and the questions relating to the interactive use of PMS.

**Table 2. Measuring items for interactive use of PMS**

<b>Question ID</b>	<b>Questionnaire items</b>
A2-5	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to enable discussion in meetings of superiors, subordinates, and peers.
A2-6	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to enable continual challenging and debating of underlying results, assumptions, and action plans.
A2-7	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to enable continual challenging and debating of underlying results, assumptions, and action plans.
A2-8	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to unite the organisation.
A2-9	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to enable the organisation to focus on common issues.
A2-10	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to enable the organisation to focus on critical success factors.
A2-11	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to develop a common vocabulary within the organisation.
A2-12	My senior management team involves me in the use of performance measurements to enable the organisation to focus on strategic uncertainties.

Organisational commitment was the dependent construct in this study. [3] model is described by [82] as the most widely accepted definition of commitment to organisations and how this is measured. As such, the questionnaire items for organisational commitment were

adapted from [3]. Table 3 shows these measuring items, the question ID, and the questions relating to organisational commitment.

**Table 3. Measuring Items for organisational commitment**

<b>Question ID</b>	<b>Questionnaire items</b>
B1-13	This organisation holds a great deal of personal meaning for me.
B1-14	I owe a great deal to my organisation.
B1-15	I would feel guilty if I left my organisation now.
B1-16	I really feel as if this organisation's problems are my own.
B1-17	I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career with this organisation.
B2-18	It would be very hard for me to leave my organisation right now, even if I wanted to.
B2-19	One of the few negative consequences of leaving this organisation would be the scarcity of other job opportunities.
B2-20	I would not leave my organisation right now because I have a sense of obligation to its people.
B2-21	Even if it were to my advantage, I do not feel it would be right to leave my organisation now.
B2-22	This organisation deserves my loyalty.
B3-23	I do not feel emotionally attached to this organisation.
B3-24	I do not feel like part of the family in my organisation.
B3-25	I do not feel a strong sense of belonging to my organisation.
B3-26	I do not feel any obligation to stay with my current employer.
B3-27	If I had not already put too much of myself into this organisation, I would leave.

Job satisfaction was the dependent construct in this study. The questionnaire items for job satisfaction, which comprised five dimensions of compensation, work, supervision, promotion, and co-workers, were adapted from [4]. Table 4 shows the measuring items, the question ID, and the job satisfaction questions.

The demographic data section collected information related to the respondents. This information included gender, age, marital status, monthly income, education level, work experience in the ICT industry, and information on their current employer.

### **3.3 Data Analysis**

Collected data were analyzed by using Cronbach's Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) Reliability Test and the EFA Validity Test; AMOS was used to run CFA in order to confirm the reliability and validity of the measurements used in this study. Hypotheses relating to the relationships between the tested latent variables (i.e., interactive PMS, diagnostic PMS, organisational commitment, and job satisfaction) were analyzed using Structural Equation Model (SEM).

**Table 4. Measuring items for job satisfaction**

<b>Question ID</b>	<b>Questionnaire items</b>
C1-28	My benefit package.
C1-29	My most recent raise.
C1-30	Information about pay issues provided by the company.
C1-31	My current total salary package (base pay, benefits, and incentives).
C1-32	The company's pay structure.
C1-33	How the company administers pay.
C2-34	The job requires me to use a number of complex or high-level skills.
C2-35	The job denies me any chance to use my personal initiative or judgment when working.
C2-36	The job is quite simple and repetitive.
C2-37	The job gives me considerable opportunity for independence and freedom in how I work.
C3-38	My supervisor looks for opportunities to praise positive employee performance, both in private and in front of others.
C3-39	I feel undervalued by my supervisor.
C3-40	The supervisor almost never gives me feedback about how well I have completed my work.
C3-41	My supervisor rewards a good idea by implementing it and giving credit to the responsible employee(s).
C3-42	My supervisor seldom recognizes an employee for work well done.
C3-43	My supervisors often let me know how well they think I am performing.
C4-44	My chances of being promoted are good.
C4-45	There are enough career opportunities for me in this organisation.
C4-46	Job vacancies in this organisation are usually filled by people from outside the organisation.
C4-47	It would be easy to find a job in another department.

## **4. FINDINGS**

The data collected from the 302 respondents were checked using descriptive analyses, reliability test and structural equation modeling.

### **4.1 Sample Characteristics**

Table 5 shows the distribution of respondents' positions in their company whilst Table 6 shows the personal details of respondents.

### **4.2 Validity Test**

Although all the measurement items are adapted from prior studies with higher validity and reliability, this research has conducted the validity and reliability test in order to ensure the same level of validity and reliability of the data collected. Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was initially run to establish measurement assessment of latent variables. With these, EFA for PMS is as shown in Table 7. The table indicates that all but one item ("develop a common vocabulary in the organisation") of interactive use of PMS loaded highly in Component 1 (C1) and was named "interactive." All diagnostic use of PMS items loaded highly in Component 2 (C2) and was named "diagnostic." This demonstrates that the factor

loading for these two components discriminates and converges in support of its theoretical underpinning.

**Table 5 Respondents' company details**

<b>Position</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Owner/CEO/Admin/Marketing/HR Director	80	26.5
General/Technical/IT/QC Manager	48	15.9
Executive/Supervisor	77	25.5
Technician/Clerical	97	32.1
<b>Nature of business</b>		
Manufacturing	156	51.7
Trading and Service	81	26.8
Wholesale and Retail	35	11.6
Banking Institutes	4	1.3
Construction/Engineering	4	1.3
Government sector	1	0.3
Education/Training Institute	6	2.0
Other (please specify)	15	5.0
<b>No. of employees</b>		
10 or fewer	42	13.9
11 to 50	48	15.9
51 to 100	59	19.5
101 to 200	50	16.6
over 200	103	34.1
<b>Years of experience in ICT</b>		
2 or fewer	101	33.4
3 to 5	95	31.5
6 to 10	60	19.9
11 to 20	32	10.6
more than 20	13	4.3
Missing	1	0.3
<b>Years of working experience in current company</b>		
2 or fewer	133	44.2
3 to 5	91	30.2
6 to 10	53	17.6
11 to 20	18	6.0
more than 20	6	2.0

EFA for organisational commitment, as shown in Table 8, indicates that when two items from 15 items are removed, organisational commitment items load highly into the three distinctive components of continuance, affective and normative, thus converging and discriminating, as indicated in theory.

Table 9 shows the rotated component matrix, where the 25 items that loaded highly into five distinctive components, compensation (C1), co-workers (C2), supervision (C3), promotion (C4), work (C5), are distinct. These five components explain a total of 73.80 percent variance in the items, whereby C1 explains 43.44 percent, C2 explains 16.19 percent, C3 explains 5.85 percent, C4 explains 4.72 percent, and C5 explains 3.60 percent.

**Table 6. Respondent profile**

<b>Gender</b>	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Female	161	53.5
Male	140	46.5
Missing	1	
<b>Age</b>		
18–24	66	21.9
25–34	164	54.5
35–44	52	17.3
45–54	12	4.0
55 and above	7	2.3
Missing	1	0.3
<b>Marital status</b>		
Single	172	57.0
Married	130	43.0
<b>Education level</b>		
Secondary/High school	35	11.7
Associate degree/Higher diploma	59	19.7
Bachelor/Professional degree	167	55.7
Master degree	33	11.0
Doctorate	6	2.0
Missing	2	0.6
<b>Monthly income level (HK\$)</b>		
Less than \$8,000	91	30.1
\$8,000 to \$15,000	89	29.5
\$15,001 to \$28,000	62	20.5
\$28,001 to \$38,000	23	7.6
\$38,001 to \$50,000	15	5.0
More than \$50,000	22	7.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table 7. Rotated component matrix**

<b>Items for PMS</b>	<b>Component</b>	
	<b>Interactive</b>	<b>Diagnostic</b>
Tie the organisation together	0.811	
Provide a common view of the organisation	0.807	
Enable the organisation to focus on common issues	0.792	
Enable continual challenge and debate underlying results, assumptions, and action plans	0.771	
Enable the organisation to focus on strategic uncertainties	0.769	
Enable discussion in meetings of superiors, subordinates, and peers	0.692	
Enable the organisation to focus on critical success factors	0.680	
Track progress toward goals		0.854
Monitor results		0.846
Compare outcomes to expectation		0.834
Review key measures		0.811

**Table 8. Rotated component matrix**

Items for organisational commitment	Component		
	Continuance	Affective	Normative
I do not feel a strong sense of belonging to my organisation.	0.869		
I do not feel like part of the family at my organisation.	0.840		
I do not feel emotionally attached to this organisation.	0.798		
I do not feel any obligation to remain with my current employer.	0.752		
If I had not already put too much of myself into this organisation, I would leave.	0.751		
This organisation has a great deal of personal meaning for me.		0.815	
I owe a great deal to my organisation.		0.796	
I really feel as if this organisation's problems are my own.		0.641	
It would be very hard for me to leave my organisation right now, even if I wanted to.		0.628	
This organisation deserves my loyalty.		0.552	
Even if it were to my advantage, I do not feel it would be right to leave my organisation now.			0.804
I would feel guilty if I left my organisation now.			0.766
I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career with this organisation.			0.765

### 4.3 Reliability Tests

The reliability of all constructs shows Cronbach's  $\alpha$  as being above 0.7, showing high reliability (Table 10).

### 4.4 Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was used to verify the measurement assessment performed above. As such, measurement models of latent variables were drawn and confirmed from the findings.

Table 11 indicates that CMIN = 114.85, df = 43, p-value = 0.0001, showing that the CFA for PMS model does not quite fit. However, CIM/DF = 2.7 < 3 indicates the model fit [83,84]. The results GFI = 0.90, AGFI = 0.90, and CFI = 0.90 all show that the model is acceptable. The result RMSEA = 0.0075 shows a value < 0.08; hence, the model is deemed acceptable and supported by PCLOSE = 0.0007 (PCLOSE < 0.05). One item was removed as it loaded weakly in the interactive measurement, and the final measurement model items are tabled in Table 12. This further confirms that the items representing diagnostic and interactive use of PMS load distinctively into two columns.

**Table 9. Rotated component matrix**

Items for job satisfaction	Component			
	Compensation	Co-workers	Supervision	Promotion Work
My current total salary package (base pay, benefits, and incentives)	0.823			
The number of benefits I receive	0.790			
Size of my current financial incentive	0.789			
The competitiveness of my total salary package (base pay, benefits, and incentives)	0.788			
The company's pay structure	0.777			
How the company administers pay	0.771			
The information about pay issues provided by the company	0.770			
My benefit package	0.770			
Consistency of the company's pay policies	0.756			
How my raises are determined	0.747			
My most recent raise	0.742			
The value of my benefits	0.718			
Co-workers are friendly		0.916		
Co-workers are honest		0.912		
Co-workers are dynamic		0.880		
Co-workers are intelligent		0.878		
Co-workers are not enemies		0.866		
Co-workers are hardworking		0.853		
My supervisor praises employee performance, both privately and in front of others.			0.741	
My supervisor often lets me know how well he or she thinks I am performing in the job.			0.729	
My supervisor rewards a good idea by implementing it and giving the responsible employee(s) credit.			0.721	
There are enough career opportunities for me in				0.793



Items for job satisfaction	Component			
	Compensation	Co-workers	Supervision	Promotion Work
this organisation.				
My chances for being promoted are good.				0.783
The job is quite simple and repetitive.				0.803
The job denies me any chance to use my personal initiative or judgment in carrying out the work.				0.791

**Table 10. Reliability**

Constructs	No. of items	Cronbach's $\alpha$	Mean	Standard deviation
Diagnostic	4	0.95	10.77	4.13
Interactive	7	0.94	18.65	6.19
Affective Commitment	5	0.84	13.79	3.75
Normative Commitment	3	0.70	14.58	3.37
Continuance Commitment	5	0.91	13.62	3.83
Compensation	12	0.95	34.38	8.01
Work	2	0.70	5.56	1.61
Supervision	3	0.80	8.26	2.26
Promotion	2	0.81	5.81	1.60
Co-workers	6	0.97	15.98	6.14

**Table 11. Summary of model fit for measurement model—PMS**

	CMIN	DF	P	CIM/DF	GFI	AGFI	CFI	RMSEA	PCLOSE
Default model	114.85	43	0.0001	2.67	.93	.90	.98	.08	.007
Saturated model	.000	0			1.00		1.000		
Independence model	3194.95	55	0.0001	58.09	.179	.014	.000	.438	.000

#### **4.4.1 CFA for job satisfaction**

Job satisfaction was measured using five components and a total of 35 items. The final CFA measurement model corroborates with EFA, with a model including 24 items loading into distinctive components.

Table 13 indicates that CMIN = 2864.23, df = 252, p-value = 0.0001, showing that the final measurement model of job satisfaction does not quite fit. This is supported by the result CIM/DF = 11.34, which is > 3, indicating the lack of model fit [83,84]. The results GFI = 0.42, AGFI = 0.32, and CFI = 0.56 all show the unacceptable model. RMSEA = 0.19 shows a value > 0.08; hence, the model is deemed not acceptable. Eleven items were removed as they were loading weakly in the job satisfaction measurement, and the final measurement model items are shown in Table 14, where all critical ratio (CR) values show > 1.96 [83,84]. This further confirms that the items representing job satisfaction are valid and reliable.

**Table 12. Standardized total effects for default model**

<b>PMS Items</b>	<b>Interactive</b>	<b>Diagnostic</b>
Tie the organisation together	0.883	0.000
Enable the organisation to focus on common issues	0.856	0.000
Provide a common view of the organisation	0.843	0.000
Enable continual challenge and debate underlying results, assumptions, and action plans	0.829	0.000
Enable discussion in meetings of superiors, subordinates, and peers	0.819	0.000
Enable the organisation to focus on critical success factors	0.799	0.000
Enable the organisation to focus on strategic uncertainties	0.787	0.000
Compare outcomes to expectation	0.000	0.920
Review key measures	0.000	0.899
Track progress toward goals	0.000	0.899
Monitor results	0.000	0.898

#### **4.4.2 CFA for organisational commitment**

Organisational commitment was measured using three components and 15 items. The final CFA indicates three distinct components with 13 items.

**Table 13. Summary of model fit for measurement model—job satisfaction**

<b>Model</b>	<b>CMIN</b>	<b>DF</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>CMIN/DF</b>	<b>GFI</b>	<b>AGFI</b>	<b>CFI</b>	<b>RMSEA</b>	<b>PCLOSE</b>
Default model	2864.23	252	.000	11.37	.425	.316	.563	.19	.000
Saturated model	.000	0			1.000		1.000		
Independence model	6250.47	276	.000	22.65	.172	.100	.000	.27	.000

Table 15 indicates that CMIN = 745.25, df = 65, p-value = 0.0001, showing that the final measurement model of organisational commitment does not quite fit. This is supported by the result CIM/DF = 11.47, which is > 3, indicating the lack of model fit [83,84]. The results GFI = 0.42, AGFI = 0.35, and CFI = 0.72 all show the unacceptable model. The result RMSEA = 0.19 shows a value > 0.08; hence, the model is deemed not acceptable. Two items were removed as they loaded weakly in the organisational commitment measurement, and the final measurement model items are shown in Table 16, where all CR values show > 1.96 [83,84]. This further confirms that the items representing organisational commitment are valid and reliable.

#### **4.4.3 CFA for endogenous variable (Job satisfaction—Organisational commitment)**

Theoretically, the endogenous variables of job satisfaction and organisational commitment are correlated. Hence, these were further tested for correlation using CFA.

Table 17 indicates the model fit of the correlated job satisfaction and organisational commitment measurement model. The results CMIN = 4237.46, df = 628, p-value = 0.0001 show that the final measurement model of job satisfaction and organisational commitment does not quite fit. This is supported by CIM/DF = 6.75, which is > 3, indicating the lack of

model fit [83,84]. The results GFI = 0.66, AGFI = 0.52, and CFI = 0.59 all show the unacceptable model. The results RMSEA = 0.14 show a value > 0.08; hence, the model is deemed not acceptable. However, as this is a measurement model, the CR value in regression weights (Table 19) shows > 1.96 [83,84]. This further confirms that the items representing job satisfaction and organisational commitment are valid and reliable and are correlated ( $r = 0.71$ ), as indicated in Table 18 below.

**Table 14. Regression weights—default model**

		Estimate	SE		
C1a	<--- J	1.000			
C1b	<--- J	.893	.066	13.613	***
C1c	<--- J	.877	.060	14.727	***
C1d	<--- J	1.070	.062	17.336	***
C1g	<--- J	.924	.057	16.189	***
C1h	<--- J	.983	.061	16.160	***
C1i	<--- J	.966	.063	15.340	***
C1j	<--- J	.957	.062	15.559	***
C1k	<--- J	.950	.062	15.397	***
C1l	<--- J	.870	.057	15.193	***
C1m	<--- J	.974	.063	15.502	***
C2b	<--- J	.152	.083	1.992	.057
C3a	<--- J	.702	.075	9.386	***
C3d	<--- J	.804	.074	10.795	***
C3f	<--- J	.618	.071	8.673	***
C4a	<--- J	.659	.070	9.392	***
C4b	<--- J	.686	.074	9.282	***
C5a	<--- J	.921	.089	10.334	***
C5b	<--- J	.856	.087	9.851	***
C5c	<--- J	1.057	.089	11.894	***
C5d	<--- J	.813	.096	8.498	***
C5e	<--- J	.853	.091	9.376	***
C5f	<--- J	.790	.095	8.348	***
C1e	<--- J	.971	.062	15.716	***

**Table 15. Summary of model fit for measurement model—organisational commitment**

Model	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF	GFI	AGFI	CFI	RMSEA	PCLOSE
Default model	745.25	65	.000	11.47	.415	.346	.719	.187	.000
Saturated model	.000	0			1.000		1.000		
Ind. model	2496.59	78	.000	32.008	.141	.093	.000	.323	.000

**Table 16. Regression weights—default model for organisational commitment**

			Estimate	SE	CR	P	Label
B3e2	<---	O	1.000				
B3d2	<---	O	.912	.072	12.738	***	par_1
B3c2	<---	O	.930	.073	12.783	***	par_2
B3b2	<---	O	.890	.069	12.905	***	par_3
B3a2	<---	O	.912	.067	13.525	***	par_4
B2e	<---	O	1.040	.077	13.588	***	par_5
B2d	<---	O	.580	.079	7.326	***	par_6
B2a	<---	O	.702	.082	8.599	***	par_7
B1e	<---	O	.745	.081	9.216	***	par_8
B1d	<---	O	.836	.074	11.276	***	par_9
B1c	<---	O	.656	.076	8.693	***	par_10
B1b	<---	O	1.017	.079	12.812	***	par_11
B1a	<---	O	1.057	.080	13.223	***	par_12

**Table 17. Summary of model fit for measurement model—organisational commitment**

Model	CMIN	DF	P	CMIN/DF	GFI	AGFI	CFI	RMSEA	PCLOSE
Default model	4237.46	628	.000	6.748	.655	.517	.594	.139	.000
Saturated model	.000	0			1.000		1.000		
Ind. model	9545.53	666	.000	14.333	.270	.148	.000	.212	.000

The above EFA and CFA tests confirm the validity and reliability of the data, so the data is deemed suitable for significance tests.

#### 4.5 Significance Tests

The postulated hypotheses were tested using an SEM. The data collected were tested for normality and homogeneity of variances, as test assumptions require the data to meet these assumptions. The model postulated for latent variables relating to Hypotheses 1 to 5 is shown in Figure 2 below.

**Table 18. Covariance and correlations—default model**

			Covariances				Correlation
			Estimate	SE	CR	P	Label
O	<->	J	.328	.041	8.014	***	par_36
							Estimate

Table 19. Regression weights (Group 1—default model)

			Estimate	SE	CR	P	Label
B3e2	<---	O	1.000				
B3d2		O	.906	.076	11.973	***	par_1
B3c2	<---	O	.925	.077	12.034	***	par_2
B3b2	<---	O	.893	.073	12.249	***	par_3
B3a2	<---	O	.908	.071	12.699	***	par_4
B2e	<---	O	1.087	.081	13.415	***	par_5
B2d	<---	O	.626	.082	7.637	***	par_6
B2a	<---	O	.738	.085	8.688	***	par_7
B1e	<---	O	.803	.084	9.539	***	par_8
B1d	<---	O	.885	.078	11.387	***	par_9
B1c	<---	O	.701	.078	8.931	***	par_10
B1b	<---	O	1.064	.084	12.685	***	par_11
B1a	<---	O	1.119	.085	13.235	***	par_12
C1a	<---	J	1.000				
C1b	<---	J	.880	.066	13.326	***	par_13
C1c	<---	J	.875	.060	14.643	***	par_14
C1d	<---	J	1.065	.062	17.149	***	par_15
C1e	<---	J	.967	.062	15.580	***	par_16
C1g	<---	J	.918	.057	15.990	***	par_17
C1h	<---	J	.983	.061	16.113	***	par_18
C1i	<---	J	.959	.063	15.146	***	par_19
C1j	<---	J	.943	.062	15.189	***	par_20
C1k	<---	J	.938	.062	15.086	***	par_21
C1l	<---	J	.863	.058	14.984	***	par_22
C1m	<---	J	.969	.063	15.339	***	par_23
C2b	<---	J	.173	.083	2.096	.036	par_24
C3a	<---	J	.720	.075	9.647	***	par_25
C3d	<---	J	.819	.074	11.028	***	par_26
C3f	<---	J	.628	.071	8.825	***	par_27
C4a	<---	J	.654	.070	9.309	***	par_28
C4b	<---	J	.683	.074	9.218	***	par_29
C5a	<---	J	.958	.089	10.824	***	par_30
C5b	<---	J	.893	.086	10.338	***	par_31
C5c	<---	J	1.090	.088	12.338	***	par_32
C5d	<---	J	.857	.095	9.006	***	par_33
C5e	<---	J	.892	.090	9.863	***	par_34
C5f	<---	J	.825	.094	8.758	***	par_35




Fig. 2. Model for latent variables relating to hypotheses 1–5

#### 4.5.1 Multivariate normal distribution

This assumption is important because violation of this assumption can inflate or deflate Chi-square value, which determines model fit. However, as this study does not aim to find a fit model but to test theories, the normality assessment presented in Table 20 is observed.

**Table 20. Assessment of normality**

Variable	Min	Max	Skew	CR	Kurtosis	CR
A2 Interactive	7.000	34.000	.339	2.392	-.630	-2.224
A1 Diagnostic	4.000	20.000	.461	3.256	-.543	-1.916
OC	3.000	64.000	-.140	-.989	.141	.497
JS	5.000	08.000	.129	.913	-.139	-.491
Multivariate					2.520	3.394

**Table 21. Regression weights (Group 1—Default model)**

Relationship	Estimate	SE	CR	P	STD estimate
JS<---A1 Diagnostic	.885	.144	6.152	***	.280
JS<---A2 Interactive	1.166	.096	12.155	***	.553
OC<---A1 Diagnostic	.522	.100	5.237	***	.268
OC<---A2 Interactive	.504	.066	7.581	***	.387
Co-variances					
Resid1 <--> Resid2	37.446	4.729	7.919	***	
<b>Variances</b>					
A1 Diagnostic	16.972	1.390	12.207	***	
A2 Interactive	38.175	3.127	12.207	***	
Resid1	104.646	8.573	12.207	***	
Resid2	50.278	4.119	12.207	***	

The CR for multivariate skewness (CR = 2.52) falls within  $\pm 2.5$ , indicating normality, while CR for multivariate kurtosis (CR = 3.4) falls within  $\pm 5$ , which indicates normality [84].

With the normality assumption met, the regression weights in Table 21 indicate that all relationships are significant (C.R > 1.96; P = \*\*\* or P < 0.05), with strong standardized estimates. Moreover, the co-variances (i.e., relationship between job satisfaction and organisational commitment) are also significant (C.R = 7.92, p < 0.05). This shows that Hypotheses 1 to 4 are supported, as all standard estimate values are positive, indicating positive relationships. Table 18 and Table 21 indicate a strong positive correlation ( $r = 0.701$ ) between job satisfaction and organisational commitment and strong positive relationships between the residuals of job satisfaction and organisational commitment, respectively; hence, Hypothesis 5 is supported.

## 5. DISCUSSION

The accelerating development of ICT technology has caused a rapid expansion in Hong Kong's ICT industry and a simultaneous increase in demand for ICT practitioners. The literature review revealed that there has been no previous study addressing the different use of PMS and its effect on individual employee behavior [1,2,8,9,10]. This research sought to fill the gap by examining the effects of diagnostic and interactive use of PMS on

organisational commitment and job satisfaction. The research findings give implications to decision makers in Hong Kong's ICT sector by providing in-depth knowledge on how organisational commitment and job satisfaction of ICT practitioners can be enhanced through strategic planning of PMS within their organisations. This planning will ultimately lead to the retention of valuable employees and lower staff turnover. The aim of this research was to uncover the effects of the diagnostic use of PMS on organisational commitment, the interactive use of PMS on organisational commitment, the diagnostic use of PMS on job satisfaction, the interactive use of PMS on job satisfaction, and the correlations between organisational commitment and job satisfaction in the ICT industry in Hong Kong.

In addressing the five hypotheses, it is clear that Hypotheses 1 and 2 indicate that there is a positive relationship between diagnostic and interactive use of PMS and organisational commitment. Similarly, this positive relationship is significantly seen between the diagnostic and interactive use of PMS and job satisfaction, as postulated in Hypotheses 3 and 4. Thus, contrary to arguments between Chinese scholars, the competitive ICT industry seems to show applicability of a model developed in the Western setting [46,47]. This relationship further strengthens the notion that both the diagnostic and interactive measures of PMS provide an organisation with a better view of its performance. These findings further support the use of interactive measures as part of a PMS. This integration with diagnostic measures strengthens the evaluation of the organisation's performance.

In a competitive environment such as the ICT industry, diagnostic measures alone may not suffice, as this industry is advancing at a fast pace. Therefore employees need to be on their toes and keep abreast with changes. Moreover, with a massive movement of human capital around the globe, especially in the ICT sector, organisations tend to lose their intellectual capital to higher bidders. As advocated by [1], diagnostic measures may dampen innovativeness among employees when, in this industry, innovation is a necessity to achieve a competitive edge, setting a difference in terms of company performance. The positive relationship between PMS measures and organisational commitment could be because of the ICT industry employees who are bound by all three types of commitment: normative, continuance, and affective.

As organisational commitment and job satisfaction were proven to be highly positive in relationship, job satisfaction of employees will lead to their commitment to the organisation and may transcend to their organisational citizenship behavior. In the ICT industry, which may be lacking in terms of emotional effect and soft skills, maintaining employees with high technical ability is important, as committed, efficient ICT employees are difficult and costly to recruit [85].

Organisations performing different types of jobs, such as manufacturing or servicing, tend to provide different types of experience to their employees. In relation to the nature of organisations, different organisations pose different challenges and provide employees with different work-related skills, as well as knowledge of a variety of processes and procedures unique to that industry. As such, employees' experience gained from work characteristics may determine an employee's commitment to the organisation [35,49,86,87,88].

## **6. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH**

While this research contributes to human resource knowledge related to organisational commitment and job satisfaction, it has been conducted with limitations because of various reasons, including but not limited to time and resource constraints. Firstly, this study was



conducted under a cross-sectional design with weak internal validity because of time and resource constraints, a longitudinal research study that collects data on the same construct over time will enable researchers to uncover possible trends of the underlying variables [89]. Secondly, a quantitative approach was used in this study, however, it did not identify other possible antecedents that may affect the relationships between the constructs under investigation. Further research on the same topic comprising both quantitative and qualitative approaches is recommended. Thirdly, an online anonymous questionnaire was conducted to collect the research data for this investigation because of the extreme time and resource limitations, however, considering that this is the sole data collection method used in the study and despite the fact that every precautionary measure has been put in place, common method bias and variance may exist. This suggested action will certainly help to enrich research findings, identify factors and reasons behind this study's results, and further enhance the quality of the relevant research. Fourth, all data in this research were collected from Hong Kong's ICT practitioners and represent the perceptions of this particular group of people. This specific geographical, cultural, and industrial setting may limit the generalizability of the research findings. Therefore, it is recommended that further research be conducted under different geographical, cultural, and industrial settings to strengthen the generalizability of this research's results.

## **7. CONCLUSION**

The rapid development of ICT technology has caused an unprecedented expansion in Hong Kong's ICT industry and a simultaneous increase in demand for ICT practitioners. To help managers and owners in this setting retain valuable employees, this research set out to determine whether the use of diagnostic and interactive PMS in ICT organisations would enhance job satisfaction and organisational commitment. Through an extensive and critical review of relevant literature, the research identified two research questions and developed five hypotheses. The research's five hypotheses were supported, indicating that diagnostic and interactive use of PMS positively influences job satisfaction and organisational commitment of employees, and that job satisfaction and organisational commitment are correlated to each other. The outcome of this research provides insights for managers and owners in Hong Kong's ICT that will help with formulating appropriate strategies to reduce staff turnover and retain valuable staff. The findings indicate how both organisational commitment and job satisfaction can be enhanced through the appropriate use of PMS in Hong Kong's ICT sector.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Henri JF. Management control systems and strategy: A resource-based perspective. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2006;31(6):529–558.
2. Simons R. Strategic orientation and top management attention to control systems, *Strategic Management Journal*. 1991;12(1):49–62.
3. Meyer JP, Allen NJ. *Commitment in the workplace: Theory, research and application*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1997.
4. Smith PC, Kendall LM, Hulin CL. *Measurement of satisfaction in work and retirement*. Chicago, IL: Rand McNally; 1969.

5. Osborn CS. Systems for sustainable organizations: Emergent strategies, interactive controls and semi-formal information, *Journal of Management Studies*. 1998;35(4):481–509.
6. Wiener Y, Vardi Y. Relationships between job, organization, and career commitment and work outcomes—an integrative approach, *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*. 1980;26(1):81–96.
7. Census and Statistics Department (Hong Kong). Hong Kong as an information society. Retrieved from 2012:  
<http://www.censtatd.gov.hk/hkstat/sub/sp120.jsp?productCode=B1110006>
8. Simons R. How new top managers use control systems as levers of strategic renewal, *Strategic Management Journal*. 1994;15(3):169–189.
9. Simons R. *Levers of control*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press;1995.
10. Nixon WAJ, Burns J. Management control in the 21st century, *Management Accounting Research*. 2005;16(3):260–268.
11. Meyer JP, Stanley DJ, Herscovitch L, Topolnytsky L. Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2002;61(1):20–52.
12. Angle HL, Perry JL. An empirical assessment of organizational commitment and organizational effectiveness, *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1981;26(1):1–14.
13. Baugh S, Roberts R. Professional and organizational commitment among engineers: Conflicting or complementing? *Engineering Management*. 1994;41(2):108–114.
14. Meyer JP, Allen NJ, Smith CA. Commitment to organizations and occupations: Extension and test of a three-component conceptualization, *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 1993;78(4):538–552.
15. Shore LM, Martin HJ. Job satisfaction and organizational commitment in relation to work performance and turnover intentions, *Human Relations*. 1989;42(7):625–638.
16. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development Information economy report 2010: ICTs, enterprises and poverty alleviation; 2010. Retrieved from:  
<http://unctad.org/en/pages/PublicationArchive.aspx?publicationid=1575>
17. Kennerley M, Neely A. Measuring performance in a changing business environment, *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 2003;23(2):213–229.
18. Ittner CD, Larcker DF. Innovations in performance measurement: Trends and research implications, *Journal of Management Accounting Research*. 1998;10:205–38.
19. Chenhall RH. Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2003;28(2/3):127–168.
20. Ittner CD, Larcker DF, Randall T. Performance implications of strategic performance measurement in financial services firms, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2003;28(7/8):715–741.
21. Ittner CD, Larcker DF. Are non-financial measures leading indicators of financial performance? An analysis of customer satisfaction, *Journal of Accounting Research*. 1998;3(6):1–35.
22. Waggoner DB, Neely AD, Kennerley MP. The forces that shape organisational performance measurement systems: An interdisciplinary review, *International Journal of Production Economics*. 1999;60:53–60.
23. Widener SK. An empirical analysis of the levers of control framework, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2007;32(7/8):757–788.
24. Bisbe J, Otlely D. The effects of the interactive use of management control systems on product innovation, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2004;29(8):709 – 737.

25. Abernethy MA, Brownell P. The role of budgets in organizations facing strategic change: An exploratory study, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 1999;24(3):189–204.
26. Kaplan RS, Norton DP. Transforming the balanced scorecard from performance measurement to strategic management: Part I, *Accounting Horizons*. 2001;15(1):87–104.
27. Henri JF. Organizational culture and performance measurement systems, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*. 2006;31(1):77–103.
28. Somers MJ. The combined influence of affective, continuance and normative commitment on employee withdrawal, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2009;74(1):75–81.
29. Brooks G. Knowledge-based structures and organisational commitment, *Management Decision*. 2002;40(6):566–573.
30. Yousef DA. Organizational commitment: A mediator of the relationships of leadership behavior with job satisfaction and performance in a non-western country, *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. 2000;15(1):6–24.
31. Hackett RD, Bycio P, Hausdorq PA. Further assessment of Meyer and Allen's (1991) three-component model of organizational commitment, *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 1994;79(1):15–23.
32. Mowday RT, Porter LW, Steers RM. *Employee organization linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism and turnover*. New York: Academic Press:1982.
33. Gellatly I, Meyer J, Luchak A. Combined effects of the three commitment components on focal and discretionary behaviors: A test of Meyer and Herscovitch's propositions, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2006;69(2):331–345.
34. Meyer JP, Paunonefl SV, Gellatly IR, Goffin RD, Jackson DN. Organizational commitment and job performance: It's the nature of the commitment that counts, *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 1989;74(1):152–156.
35. Steers RM. Antecedents and outcomes of organizational commitment, *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 1997;22(1):46–56.
36. Becker HS. Notes on the concept of commitment, *American Journal of Sociology*. 1960;66(1):32–40.
37. Stevens JM, Beyer JM, Trice HM. Assessing personal, role, and organizational predictors of managerial commitment, *Academy of Management Journal*. 1978;21(3), 380–396.
38. Porter LW, Steers RM, Mowday RT, Boulian PV. Organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and turnover among psychiatric technicians, *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 1974;59(5):603–609.
39. Lin CP. To share or not to share: modeling tacit knowledge sharing, its mediators and antecedents, *Journal of Business Ethics*. 2007;70(4):411–428.
40. Meyer JP, Allen NJ. A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment, *Human Resource Management Review*. 1991;1(1):61–89.
41. Allen NJ, Meyer JP. Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: An examination of construct validity, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 1996;49(3):252–276.
42. Shaw JD, Delery JE, Abdulla MHA. Organizational commitment and performance among guest workers and citizens of an Arab country, *Journal of Business Research*. 2003;56(12):1021–1030.
43. Mowday RT, Steers RM, Porter LW. The measurement of organizational commitment, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 1979;14(2):224–247.
44. Wiener Y. Commitment in Organizations: A Normative View, *Academy of Management Review*. 1982;7(3):418–428.

45. Kanter RM. Commitment and social organization: a study of commitment mechanisms in utopian communities, *American Sociological Review*. 1968;33(4):499–517.
46. Wang Y. Observations on the organizational commitment of Chinese employees: Comparative studies of state-owned enterprises and foreign-invested enterprises, *International Journal of Human Resource Management*. 2004;15(4/5):649–669.
47. Ling W, Fang L, Zhang Z. Research on Chinese employees' organizational commitments, *Social Sciences in China*. 2002;23(3):59–67.
48. Iverson RD, Buttigieg DM. Affective, normative and continuance commitment: Can the right kind of commitment be managed? *Journal of Management Studies*. 1999;36(3):307–333.
49. Allen NJ, Meyer JP. The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*. 1990;63(1):1–18.
50. McGee GW, Ford RC. Two or more dimensions of organizational commitment: Re-examination of the affective and continuance commitment scales. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 1987;72(4):638–641.
51. Cheng JYS. *The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region in its first decade*, City University of Hong Kong Press; 2007.
52. Scott I. *The Public Sector in Hong Kong*, Hong Kong University Press; 2010.
53. Li KS, Tong Canon, Wong Anthony. The Impact of Career Development on Employee Commitment of Part-Time Faculty (PTF) in Hong Kong's Continuing Professional Development (CPD) Sector, *British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science*. 2014;4(1):52-73.
54. Wasti SA. Commitment profiles: Combinations of organizational commitment forms and job outcomes, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2005;67(2):290–308.
55. Hoppock R. *Job satisfaction*. New York: Harper & Row Inc; 1935.
56. Locke EA. The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In Dunnette MD. (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology*. Chicago, IL: Rand McNally; 1976.
57. Hodson R. Workplace behaviors: Good soldiers, smooth operators, and saboteurs, *Work and Occupations*. 1991;18(3):271-290.
58. Schermerhorn JR. *Management for productivity (4th ed.)*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons; 1993.
59. Robbins SP, Odendaal A, Roodt G. *Organizational behavior (9th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 2003.
60. Ellickson MC, Logsdon K. Determinants of job satisfaction of municipal government employees, *Public Personnel Management*. 2002;31(3):343–358.
61. Evans L. Delving deeper into morale, job satisfaction and motivation among education professionals: Re-examining the leadership dimension, *Educational Management and Administration*. 2001;29(3):291–306.
62. Luthans E. *Organizational behavior (8th ed.)*. Boston, MA: Irwin McGraw-Hill; 1998.
63. Spector P. *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes and consequences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1997.
64. Greenberg J, Baron RA. *Behavior in organizations: Understanding and managing the human side of work (18th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice-Hall; 2003.
65. Griffin R, Moorhead G. *Organizational behavior: Managing people and organizations (18th ed.)*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company; 2007.
66. Tutuncu O, Kozak M. An investigation of factors affecting job satisfaction, *International Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Administration*. 2007;8(1):1–19.
67. George JM, Jones GR. *Understanding and managing organizational behaviour (5th ed.)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice-Hall; 2008.

68. Gupta A, Upadhyay D. Impact of effectiveness of performance management system on employee satisfaction and commitment, *International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering*. 2012;2(7):96-106.
69. Hall M. An empirical investigation of the relationship between strategic performance measurement systems, role clarity, psychological empowerment and work outcomes, The University of Melbourne, VIC 3010; 2004.
70. Jernigan IE, Beggs JM, Kohut GF. Dimensions of work satisfaction as predictors of commitment type, *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. 2002;17(7):564–579.
71. Igbaria M, Guimaraes T. Antecedents and consequences of job satisfaction among information center employees, *Journal of Management Information System*. 1993;9(4):145–174.
72. Morrison R. Negative relationships in the workplace: associations with organisational commitment, cohesion, job satisfaction and intention turnover, *Journal of Management and Organization*. 2008;14(4):330 – 341.
73. Karatepe O, Tekinkus G. The effects of work-family conflict, emotional exhaustion, and intrinsic motivation on job outcomes of front-line employees, *International Journal of Bank Marketing*. 2006;24(3):173–193.
74. McDonald D, Makin P. The psychological contract, organisational commitment and job satisfaction of temporary staff, *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*. 2000;21(2):83–91.
75. Mael FA, Tetrick LE. Identifying organizational identification, *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 1992;52(4):813–824.
76. Moser K. Commitment in organizations, *Psychologies*. 1997;41(4):160–170.
77. Alexander JA, Liechtenstein RL, Oh Hyun Joo, Ullman E. A causal model of voluntary turnover among nursing personnel in long-term psychiatric settings, *Research in Nursing and Health*. 1998;21(5):415–427.
78. Jamal M. Job stress, satisfaction and mental health: An empirical examination of self-employed and non-self-employed Canadians, *Journal of Small Business Management*. 1997;35(4):48–57.
79. Wong CS, Wong YT, Hui C, Law KS. The significant role of Chinese employees' organizational commitment: Implications for managing employees in Chinese societies, *Journal of World Business*. 2001;36(3):326–340.
80. McLean R, Blackie NM. Customer and company voices in ecommerce: A qualitative analysis, qualitative market research. *An International Journal*. 2004;7(4):243–249.
81. Reynolds NL, Simintiras AC, Diamantopoulos A. Theoretical justification of sampling choices in international marketing research: Key issues and guidelines for researchers, *Journal of International Business Studies*. 2003;34(1):80–89.
82. Sparrow PR, Cooper CL. *The employment relationship: Key challenges for HR*. Burlington, VT: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2003.
83. Byrne BM. *Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications and programming*. London, England: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2001.
84. Kline RB. *Principles and practices of structural equation modeling (2nd ed.)*. London, England: The Guilford Press; 2005.
85. Meyer JP, Becker TE, Vandenberghe C. Employee commitment and motivation: A conceptual analysis and integrative model, *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2004;89(6):991–1007.
86. Vandenberghe C, Bentein K, Stinglhamber F. Affective commitment to the organisation, supervisor and work group: Antecedents and outcomes, *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 2004;64:47–71.

87. Mayer R, Schoorman F. Predicting participation and production outcomes through a two-dimensional model of organisational commitment, *Academy of Management Journal*. 1992;35:671–684.
88. DeCotiis TA, Summers TP. A path analysis of a model of the antecedents and consequences of organisational commitment, *Human Relations*. 1987;40(7):445–470.
89. Tharenou P, Donohue R, Cooper B. *Management research methods*. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

---

© 2014 Suen et al; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=609&id=20&aid=5448>