

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

18(1): 1-9, 2017; Article no.IJPSS.35034 ISSN: 2320-7035

Potassium Dynamics under Sub Surface Drip Fertigation System on Banana cv. Rasthali

M. Yuvaraj1* and P. P. Mahendran²

1 Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Adhiparasakthi Agricultural College, Kalavai-Vellore, India. ² Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College and Research Institute – Madurai, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author MY designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote manuscript. Author PPM guided in this study and gave more suggestion when I am writing manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2017/35034 *Editor(s):* (1) Nasima Junejo, Department of Forest Production, Faculty of Forestry, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia. (2) Hakan Sevik, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Kastamonu University, Turkey. (3) Francisco Cruz-Sosa, Biotechnology Department, Metropolitan Autonomous University Iztapalapa Campus Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186 México City 09340 México. *Reviewers:* (1) Sanjay Uttamrao Kakade, Dr.Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India. (2) Ružica Stričević, University of Belgrade, Serbia. (3) Kürşad Demirel, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey. (4) Sezer Şahin, Gaziosmanpaşa Üniversitesi, Turkey. (5) M. Lalitha, National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, India. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/20470

Original Research Article

Received 25th June 2017 Accepted 3rd August 2017 Published 11th August 2017

ABSTRACT

The soil sampling was done at emitting point (laterals placed at 25 cm depth of soil from surface) and 15 cm horizontally away from the emitting point of the same lateral. Similarly, the soil samples were also collected from 0-25, 25-50 and 50 – 75 cm depth of profile (vertical) between the drippers in 24 hours after fertigation at flowering stage of the crop. The soil was air dried, powdered and passed through a 2 mm sieve and stored in clean polythene bags. The available potassium both in horizontal and vertical dimensions were mapped by using Surfer 7 software. The main aim of this study is to known potassium distribution at different depth in sub surface drip fertigation system in banana.

**Corresponding author: E-mail: yuvasoil@gmail.com;*

Keywords: Subsurface drip fertigation; potassium dynamics; potassium; banana.

1. INTRODUCTION

Potassium is an essential macronutrient for plants by acting, among other functions for the transport of solutes protein synthesis and enzyme activation. Its deficiency affects the metabolism, with negative consequences on the nutritional quality of the product, mechanical stability and resistance to pests and pathogens [1]. The excess can also directly damage the crop by toxicity and indirectly by soil salinization [2]. In modern agriculture potassium used to fertilize crops of high economic value (melon, tomato, cotton) it is almost exclusive applied by fertigation in drip fertigation systems [3,4,5]. Proper management of irrigation using emitters with good hydraulic characteristics and properly sized systems. The movement of nutrients along with irrigation pipes in fertigation primarily occurs through mass flow however at the ends of the laterals lines which hydraulic system is mainly laminar the influence of the diffusion is more effective [6].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Field experiment was carried out at AICRP-Water Management block, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai during 2010 – 2011.

2.1 Treatments Schedule

T1 - Surface irrigation with soil application of RDF

T2 - Subsurface drip fertigation of 100% RDF (P as basal, N&K through drip as urea & white potash)

T3 - Subsurface drip fertigation of 100% RDF as WSF (WSF – urea, 13:40:13, KNO3)

T4 - Subsurface drip fertigation of 100% RDF (50% P&K as basal, remaining NPK as WSF)

T5 - Sub surface drip fertigation of 75% RDF +LBF (P as basal N&K through drip as urea and white potash)

T6 - Subsurface drip fertigation of 75% RDF as WSF + LBF (WSF- urea, 13:40:13, KNO3)

T7 - Subsurface drip fertigation of 75% RDF + LBF (50% P&K as basal remaining NPK as WSF)

T8 - T2+ LBF

T9 - T3 + LBF

T10 -T4 + LBF

T11 -Subsurface drip fertigation with no inorganic + LBF

NOTE:

- Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF): 200:35:330 gm NPK/plant
- Source of P: Di ammonium phosphate and 13: 40: 13
- Source of K: White potash and $KNO₃$
- Source of water soluble fertilizers (WSF): Urea, 13:40:13 and KNO3
- Liquid bio fertilizers (LBF): Azospi, Phophofix and Potash Activa @ 2.5 litres/ha each at 2nd,

3rd 4th, 5th and $6th$ months. Irrigation given once in a three days interval.

The Surfer software developed by Golden Software of USA is a contouring package which includes 3D surface mapping program that runs under Microsoft windows.

2.2 Experimental Result

2.2.1 Effect of subsurface drip fertigation on nutrient mobility

The mobility of nutrients in soil depends on the source, levels of applied fertilizers and forms of nutrient ions. The moisture content influenced the availability of potassium in the soil. The mobility of the nutrients had been assessed from the soil sample taken 24 hours after fertigation at various distance from dripper both horizontal and vertical directions.

2.2.2 Potassium (Fig. 1a, 1b, 1c)

The distribution of potassium varied both vertically and horizontally from the emitting point. In general, the available soil potassium increased up to a depth of 50 cm and there after it declined. Among the treatments, subsurface drip

Fig. 1a. Potassium mobility and availability (kg ha-1) under subsurface drip fertigation system

Fig. 1b. Potassium mobility and availability (kg ha-1) under subsurface drip fertigation system

Fig. 1c. Potassium mobility and availability (kg ha-1) under subsurface drip fertigation system

| Particulars | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| А. | Mechanical composition | |
| | Clay $(\%)$ | 25.30 |
| | Silt $(\%)$ | 12.15 |
| | Fine sand $(\%)$ | 26.10 |
| | Coarse sand (%) | 35.61 |
| | Textural class | Sandy clay loam |
| В. | Physical properties | |
| 1. | Bulk density (Mg m^{-3}) | 1.37 |
| 2. | Particle density (Mg m^{-3}) | 2.65 |
| 3. | Water holding capacity (%) | 28.50 |
| 4. | Pore space (%) | 45.80 |
| C. | Physic chemical properties | |
| 1. | CEC (c mol (p^+) kg ⁻¹) | 19.5 |
| 2. | pH | 7.40 |
| 3. | EC (dS m ⁻¹) | 0.48 |
| D. | Chemical properties | |
| 1. | Loss on ignition (%) | 5.16 |
| 2. | Acid insoluble (%) | 86.51 |
| 3. | Sesquioxide (%) | 8.73 |
| 4. | Total N $(%)$ | 0.14 |
| 5. | Total P (%) | 0.01 |
| 6. | Total K $(%)$ | 0.62 |
| 7. | Available N (kg ha ⁻¹) | 165 |
| 8. | Available P (kg ha ⁻¹) | 8.9 |
| 9. | Available K (kg ha ⁻¹) | 170 |
| 10. | Organic carbon (%) | 0.36 |
| 11. | Bacterial population (X 10 ⁷ CFU g^{-1}) | 61.27 |
| 12. | Fungi population (X 10 ³ CFU g^{-1}) | 52.46 |
| 13. | Actinomycetes population (X 10^5 CFU g ⁻¹) | 29.51 |

Table 1. Initial soil characteristics of the experimental field

fertigation of 100 per cent RDF as WSF (WSF – Urea, 13: 40: 13, $KNO₃$)+ LBF $(T₉)$ recorded the highest soil available potassium at 25-50 cm depth of soil at a distance of 15 cm from the emitter point. In surface irrigation with soil application of recommended dose of fertilizers, accumulation of potassium was considerable at a depth of 50-75cm and the surface layer recorded lower available potassium. The accumulation of potassium in surface irrigation with soil application of recommended dose of fertilizers was lower at 0-25 and 25-50 cm depth and the reverse was true at 50- 75 cm depth where entire potassium fertilizers was soil applied, indicating potential leaching risk.

2.2.3Effect of subsurface drip fertigation levels on leaf K content (per cent) of banana

The leaf K was affected significantly by subsurface drip fertigation treatments under this investigation. The highest K percentage in leaf was recorded by plants received subsurface drip

fertigation of 100 per cent RDF as WSF (WSF – Urea, 13: 40: 13, $KNO₃$) + LBF. In general, there was an increase in K contents in all the treatments up to shooting and thereafter the values declined. This shows a heavy loading of K in leaves during vegetative and shooting stage followed by a decrease in the concentration due to rapid increase in dry matter caused by faster growth of banana crop [7] reported a continuous uptake of N up to shooting in banana [8]. observed an increase in the content of N up to flowering in banana (Fig. 2).

2.2.4 Effect of subsurface drip fertigation on yield (Table 2)

Subsurface drip fertigation treatments had favourably influenced the bunch yield of banana. The bunch yield ranged from 11.45 to 44.51 t ha⁻¹ in the present investigation. Among the treatments, subsurface drip fertigation of 100 per cent RDF as WSF (WSF – Urea, 13: 40: 13, $KNO₃$) + LBF recorded the highest bunch yield

Fig. 2. Effect of subsurface drip fertigation levels on leaf K content (per cent) of banana

 $(44.51 \text{ t} \text{ ha}^{-1})$ which accounted to 115 percent yield increase over surface irrigation with soil application of recommended dose of fertilizers. This was followed by subsurface drip fertigation of 100 percent RDF (50% P and K as basal, remaining N, P and K as WSF) +LBF.

Marketable fruit yield per hectare was significantly lower in 75 per cent RDF levels compared to 100 per cent RDF. The soil of experimental site was low in available N (165 kg ha⁻¹), available P (8.9 kg ha⁻¹) and available K $(170 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1})$. Besides, the high yield potential of banana cv. Rasthali tried in the present study was expected to response better at still higher fertilizer rate. Because of these reasons, the yield was significantly lower with 75 per cent fertigation rate over 100 per cent RDF levels.

3. DISCUSSION

This yield increase can be attributed to significantly higher number of hands and fingers per bunch and bunch weight per plant in subsurface drip fertigation over surface irrigation with soil application of recommended dose of fertilizers. The better performance under subsurface drip was attributed to maintenance of favourable soil water status in the root zone, which in turn helped the plants to utilize moisture as well as nutrients more efficiently from the limited wetted area. The leaching aspect under three times soil application of potassium followed by surface irrigation can be related to the study of [9]. On the other hand, fertigation with water soluble fertilizers registered higher available potassium content in root zone layer (0-25 and 25-50 cm). In this sandy clay loam with low CEC and potassium fixation, potassium ions move along with water and thus, it will be prudent to apply potassium fertilizers through drip irrigation in more splits to achieve maximum nutrient use efficiency [10,11]. This suggested that split application of potassium fertilizers through drip would be a better option for banana than soil application with surface irrigation. It was also observed that the drip fertigation has the potential to minimize leaching loss and to improve the available potassium status in root zone for efficient use by the crop. Hence frequent supplementation of nutrients through subsurface drip irrigation increased availability of potassium in the root zone and which in turn increased the yield and quality of banana.

The distinctive yield advantage reflected in subsurface drip fertigation treatments was further amplified by the application of liquid biofertilizers through drip irrigation water. The yield increase was about 8 per cent when compared to uninoculated treatments. Similarly, the direct
beneficial effects of Azospirillum with beneficial effects of *Azospirillum* with recommended dose of fertilizers in increasing bunch yield was reported in tissue cultured grand Naine banana by [12].

This is because of the application of fertilizers through fertigation is restricted to the wetted volume of soil where the active roots are concentrated and hence a better fertilizer
utilization is achieved. Savings in the utilization is achieved. consumption of fertilizers upto 50 per cent by fertigation compared to soil application have been reported by [13] in onion and [14] in tomato.

Further, it was noted that the yield at 75 per cent RDF levels $(T_5$ to T_7) found superior over surface irrigation with soil application of recommended dose of fertilizers indicating 25 per cent saving in recommended dose of fertilizers.

4. CONCLUSION

The distribution of potassium varied both vertically and horizontally from the emitting point after fertigation. The accumulation of potassium in soil application of recommended dose of fertilizers (T_1) was lower at 0-25 and 25-50 cm depth and the reverse was true at 50-75 cm depth where entire potassium fertilizer was soil applied, indicating potential leaching risk. The subsurface drip fertigation of 100 per cent RDF as WSF (WSF– Urea, 13: 40: 13, $KNO₃$) + LBF (T_9) recorded the highest soil available potassium at 25-50 cm depth of soil at a distance of 15 cm from the emitter point.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their sincere thanks and gratitude to International panacea Ltd., New Delhi for sponsoring the research project.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Armengaud P, Sulpice R, Miller AJ, Stitt M, Amtmann A, Gibon Y. Multilevel analysis of primary metabolism provides new insights into the role of potassium nutrition for glycolysis and nitrogen assimilation in arabidopsis roots. Plant Physiology. Bethesda. 2009;150(2):772- 785.

- 2. Arienzo M, Christen E, Quayle W, Kumar AA. Review of the fate of potassium in the soil-plant system after land application of wastewaters. Journal of Hazardous Materials. Amsterdam. 2009;164(2-3):415- 422.
- 3. Hernandez M, Chailloux I, Moreno M, Mojena V, Salgado M. Nitrogenpotassium fertigation for protected
cultivation of tomato (Solanum cultivation of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) and its effect on biomass accumulation and nutrient extraction. Cultivos Tropicales, Cuba. 2009;30(4):71- 78.
- 4. Reddy B, Aruna S. Effect of doses and split application of nutrients through fertigation in Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L*.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development. Narrabri. 2010;24(1): 59-63.
- 5. Song S, Lehne P, LeGe J, Huang T. Yield, fruit quality and nitrogen uptake of organically and conventionally grown muskmelon with different inputs of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Journal of Plant Nutrition. Singapore. 2009;33(1):130-141.
- 6. Oliveira MV, Villas Boas AM. Uniformidade de distribuiçao do potassium nitrogenn sistema de irrigation por gotejamento. Engenharia Agrícola, Jaboticabal. 2008;2(1):95-103.
- 7. Mitra SK, Dhue RS. Banana in mineral nutrition of fruit crops. Nayer Prokash, Culcutta. India. 1988;773.
- 8. Ram RA, Prasad J. Studies on nutritional requirement of banana cv. Campierganj local (Musa ABB). Narendra Deva. Journal of Agriculture Research. 1985;4:196-200.
- 9. Sing M, Sing VP, Reddy DD. Potassium balance and release kinetics under continuous rice wheat cropping system in vertisol. Field Crop Research. 2002;77:81- 91.
- 10. Hanson BR, Simunek J, Hopmans JW. Evaluation of urea–ammonium–nitrate fertigation with drip irrigation using numerical modelling. Agricultural Water Management. 2006;86:102-113.
- 11. Rivera RN, Duarte SN, Miranda DE, Botrel TA. Potassium modelling dynamics in the

soil under drip irrigation: model validation. Eng. Agric. Jaboticabal. 2006;26(2):388- 394.

- 12. Gaikwad RT, Bhalerao VP, Pujari CV, Patil NM. Effect of biofertilizers on nutrient uptake and yield attributes of banana. An Asian Journal of Soil Science. 2010;4(2): 271-274.
- 13. Satyendra kumar K, Imtiyar M, Kumar S. Variable irrigation and fertigation on seed

quality and seed yield of okra. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 2008;31(1):130- 131.

14. Soumya TM, Ramachandrappa BK, Nanjappa HV. Effect of fertigation with different sources and levels of fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato. Mysore J. Agric. Sci. 2008;43(1):80-84.

 $_$, and the set of th *© 2017 Yuvaraj and Mahendran; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/20470*