Trends in Water Quality in a Tropical Kenyan River-estuary System: Responses to Anthropogenic Activities

Kobingi Nyakeya [a,b*], James Onchieku [b], Frank Masese [c], Zipporah Gichana [b], Albert Getabu [b] and Jane Nyamora [b,d]

[a] *Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, Baringo Station, P.O. Box 231, Marigat, Kenya.*
[b] *Department of Environment, Natural Resources & Aquatic Sciences, Kisii University, P.O Box 408 -40200, Kisii, Kenya.*
[c] *Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, University of Eldoret, P.O. Box 254, Eldoret, Kenya.*
[d] *Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, Mombasa Headquarters, P.O. Box 81651 – 80100, Mombasa, Kenya.*

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Aims: To determine the spatial variation in physico-chemical water quality attributes in estuarine ecosystems of South Coast Kenya to inform its management.
Study Design: We employed diagnostic research design where such factors as anthropogenic activities, hydrology, and accessibility were considered in choosing 12 sampling stations. A mixed sampling design (probability and non-probability) was used to sample.

_____

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kobinginyakeya @gmail.com;

Place and Duration of Study: Four discharging rivers into estuarine system with a reference point were identified. 12 sampling points were earmarked and sampled for 12 months.

Methodology: Nine selected water quality parameters were collected in triplicates monthly for 12 months. Temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, salinity and TDS were collected *in situ* using YSI Multiparameter meter (Professional plus) while nutrients were analyzed in the laboratory calorimetrically. Data was tested for normality and homogeneity of variances using Levene's and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) were done using the 64-bit R Software version 4.3.0 to test for significant differences and correlate the parameters among the sampling sites respectively. Observed differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.05$.

Results: The measured environmental variables differed significantly among the sampling stations ($P < 0.05$). temperature and salinity exhibited moderate to strong effect on water quality, while pH and nutrients (phosphates, nitrates, and ammonia) exhibited mixed effects with both negative and positive loadings on the Principal Components. It is demonstrated that the south coast estuary, Kenya is affected by two gradients: mineralization from the sea and the organic matter gradient at the upstream stations dominated by the fluvial inflows from the respective rivers.

Conclusion: The south coast of Kenya estuary is impacted by natural processes and anthropogenic activities. we recommend for continued regular water quality assessment and monitoring to acquire sufficient data to shape policy frameworks towards its sustainable management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Globally, pollution of surface water is on the trajectory owing to rapid human population that is exerting enormous pressure on the environment. Anthropogenic activities have led to elevated physico-chemical water quality attributes of environmental concern because they have resulted into eutrophication and decreased biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems [1-10]. It has been confirmed that freshwater bodies although smallest in terms of surface area are the most important in sustaining human life and yet they are the most compromised in terms of integrity and their depletion is alarming [6-8, 11-15]. It is estimated that the demand for water may increase three-fold by the year 2050 [16]. The physico-chemical levels and sediment quality and quantity often indicate the integrity and health status of rivers and estuarine systems hence the need to assess the impacts of anthropogenic changes to underscore the implementation of management strategies to inform policy [17,18]. Having poorly documented data sets that runs for a longer extended time frames may not point out the real picture of quality changes in given ecosystems and in most cases may lead to misleading policy interventions [19]. To overcome these complex interactions, which may act synergistically or antagonistically [20,7] calls for regular and well-coordinated environmental monitoring and assessment that runs for a reasonable temporal and spatial scale especially in the current highly variable tropical climates that are defined by climate change.

For the last five decades, rivers and estuarine systems have witnessed spatial-temporal variations in terms of water quality parameters and sediment loads that have drastically impaired these ecosystems [1,20-25] Elevated agricultural activities, urbanization, industrialization, deforestation, river impoundments, and unregulated water abstractions have contributed to poor water quality downstream due to excessive nutrient and sediment loads [23,24]. It is estimated that over 350,000 chemical substances and about 70,000 undocumented ones are in regular application globally [26] (Wang et al., 2020). Their effects are detrimental both to the environment and the organisms that reside in it and can access both compartments in form of solution, solid and/or gaseous [27]. Further, this situation (of environmental pollution) is compounded by the effects of climate change and variability, which are no longer predictable [28-30]. On the other hand, the construction of weirs for different reasons along the river continuum has altered the natural flow patterns, thus interfered with the downstream sediment matrix, physico-chemical water quality parameters and nutrient loads [12, 13 26,9]. In

addition, constructed dams can act as pollution sinks whereby, they can hold sediments, and organic particulate matter rich in nutrients especially during their early stages of construction [31, 32]. Nutrient adsorption to inorganic particles and denitrification in a river longitudinally up to the estuary may vary depending on its morphology. Therefore, river impoundment and other anthropogenic effects may have serious impacts on downstream river flows and finally the adjacent estuaries thus affecting the water quality, and this may worsen due to erratic climatic variability that is being experienced of late as a result of global warming [33].

Flowing in a mixed complex terrain characterized by numerous anthropogenic activities, the rivers carry different forms/levels of pollution into smaller respective distinct estuaries which when put together make up the larger south coast estuary of Kenya in the Western Indian Ocean (WIO) region. Like any other coastal regions of the world, the south coast of Kenya is dominated by urbanization developments owing to the conducive climate for human settlements [21,34]. High population is being experienced day in day out and this is cause of concern owing to huge waste generated amidst poor urban planning that is resulting into unsustainable way of handling waste. Poor waste disposal remains an eye sore especially in third world countries [35,36].

In addition, domestic and industrial effluents accompany ill-equipped waste disposal strategies in emitting unwarranted contaminants [4,37]. The landfills often operated within these catchments (the south coast of Kenya) lack basic leachate collection and treatment facilities. According to [38] landfills operated minus the appropriate treatment mechanisms result into environmental degradation and the magnitude of pollution is proportionate to the leachate's properties. Therefore, the rapid urbanization witnessed in the south coast catchments of Kenya is likely to have serious implications on the quality of rivers and adjacent estuaries with possible physico-chemical and biological water quality consequences [39]. Generally, the wastewater being generated from the leachate fills and general surface run-off from urban developments in the area may result into nutrient loadings in the receiving rivers. Surface run-off from urban leachate fills have got possible likelihood of causing eutrophication in the receiving estuaries [40]. It has been reported that domestic and industrial effluents coupled with

soil erosion increase nutrient levels in aquatic ecosystems [3,13,41,42] Therefore, the estuarine ecosystems along the south coast of Kenya, which are dotted with enormous levels of soil erosion fueled by loosely packed soils within their catchment areas are likely to suffer from nutrient enrichment and eventual eutrophication.

It is against the above backdrop that there is an urgent need to assess and monitor changes in environmental variables/factors in relation to anthropogenic impacts to rivers and estuaries along the south coast of Kenya in the WIO region to inform its management for sustainable utilization of its resources. Despite the fact that a number of studies have been concentrated in the north coast of Kenya [21,23,43], no comprehensive studies have been undertaken along the south coast rivers and estuaries of Kenya in the region to document the sources of pollution and their impacts. Physico-chemical water quality attributes measured monthly based on numerous sampling sites throughout the larger south coast estuary of Kenya in the WIO region, were used in this study to investigate river-estuarine water quality on a spatial scale. Therefore, the aims of this study were to (i) determine the selected physico-chemical water quality attributes in estuarine systems along the south coast of Kenya in the WIO region, (ii) identify the mechanisms driving the spatial variations in physico-chemical water quality parameters in estuaries along the south coast of Kenya in the WIO region, and (iii) evaluate the effects of anthropogenic activities on downstream river-estuarine water quality along the south coast of Kenya in the WIO region. We hypothesized that the anthropogenic activities did not have a negative impact on downstream rive-estuarine water quality along the south coast of Kenya in the WIO region.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The Kenya coast measures about 600 km², bordering Somalia to the north at Kiunga (1°41'S) and Tanzania to the south in a town called Vanga (4°40'S). The region has a tropical climate whose weather patterns is influenced by the western Indian Ocean Monsoon winds [34]. There are two tropical monsoon seasons, the Southeast monsoon (SEM) prevailing from April to September, which is cooler compared to the Northeast monsoon (NEM) that is characterized by dry weather and sets from October to

Fig. 1. Map of the study area

March [34]. The wet seasons are experienced between April and October, but long rains usually begin towards the end of March with the peak occurring in April or May in case of delays and then start declining through August and September when the dry period beckons. Short rains are then witnessed between October and November [44]. However, of late this is no longer the trend as rain of unpredictable heights can be experienced at any time of the year. Many studies have been concentrated in the north coast unlike the south coast hence the current study was undertaken in the southern region of the Kenyan coast (Fig. 1). This region receives the highest mean annual rainfall of slightly above 1,016 mm. It experiences temperature range of between 20 °C and 35 °C. This study was carried out in 12 sampling stations spread out among four sub-estuaries with each delineated with specific sampling sites depending on distinct ecological characteristics: Mapu (reference), Mwena (3 stations), Mkurumudzi (2), Ramisi (3), and Umba (3) estuarine ecosystems in the south coast of Kenya, the WIO region (Fig. 1).

Generally, the area is characterized by one of the largest mangrove habitats (the Vanga-Funzi system covering 6,980 ha). Some of the common mangrove species found in this area include *Rhizophora mucronata* and *Avicenia marina*, plus other 7 more species, which supports a disarray of biodiversity. Other critical habitats include sea-grass meadows and coral reefs. These systems form an important ecological and socio-economic zone for the coastal people [45]. These systems' integrity definitely determines the productivity of the inshore waters and those of the continental shelf areas. According to the 2019 Census, Kwale County in which the study area is situated has a total population of 866,820 people with annual growth pegged at 3.1 % (Kenya National Bureau of Statistics [46]. Much of this population (17 %) is concentrated along the coastal parts bordering the Indian Ocean hence impacting the coastal ecosystems and marine habitats via different human induced activities to support their livelihoods. Further, it is projected that this population may increase to 969,442 (i.e. 10.6 %) by the year 2027, meaning much more

degradation of both riverine and estuarine systems if sustainable management plans are not put into place.

The Mkurumudzi River basin, covers an area of 230 km^2 and is located 50 km south of Mombasa city, Kenya. The river traverses about 40 km right from Shimba Hills National Reserve down to Gazi Bay in the Indian Ocean where it supports a vast forest of mangroves in the estuary. It is an important river in the region that support a number of commercial activities such as mining by the Base Titanium Limited Company and irrigation of sugar cane farms mainly run by Kwale International Sugar Company (KISCOL). It also provides water for domestic use, watering of livestock apart from irrigating small-scale farms in the area. Of significance also is that it regulates the micro-climate of this semi-arid region. The basin is characterized by a sub-humid climate, and experiences short rains of 800 mm between the months of October and December and long rains between March and May of about 1300 mm.

The river experiences a mean evaporation of 2,170 mm/year, with an aridity index of 0.55 [47]. It enjoys warm temperatures during the months of November to April with mean temperature of 27.0 ^{o}C, whereas colder months record an average temperature of 25.0 ^{o}C. In the event of low rainfall, the river is recharged by groundwater making it a permanent river. Agriculture is the major economic activity that takes place whereby such crops as sugarcane, maize, beans, cowpeas, millet and sorghum, okra, cassava are grown. Livestock husbandry, commercial mining (Base titanium Ltd., Ukunda, Kenya), commercial farming of sugarcane, commercial mining, tourism activities associated with the sea and the Hills National Reserve, and fishing (in the river and mainly in the Indian Ocean) are other anthropogenic activities of importance.

Ramisi River on the other hand, starts from Chenze Ranges with many first order ephemeral streams feeding it and traverses a mixed terrain before it flows to the Indian Ocean at Ramisi estuary. It supports an extensive mangrove ecosystem near Funzi Island. The river's salinity levels are highly influenced through in-filtration by brackish geothermal originating from Mwananyamala hot springs. Consequently, it is saline, but its levels are not too high to significantly affect its utilization for irrigation of agricultural activities. The river offers a conducive refugia for crocodiles which are distributed in both upstream and downstream parts of the river depending on the period of the year.

The Umba River is yet another riverine system that plays an important role in the south coast estuarine ecosystem of Kenya. It is a transboundary river that flows through Tanzania and Kenya. Its source is in the tectonic type of mountain called Usambara in Mkinga, Tanzania, which stands at an altitude of 2,000 m above sea level. This river discharges its waters to the Indian Ocean on the Kenyan part at a fast-growing town known as Vanga, near the boundary of Kenya and Tanzania. It traverses a vast area of about 200 km long, transporting a rich allochthonous food materials and terrigenous sediments into the estuary. It has an approximated catchment of 8,000 km^2. There is a proposal that is at its advanced stage to have a Transboundary Conservation Area (TBCA) that will stretch from Diani, Kwale County in Kenya (39°00′ E, 4°25′ S) to Tanga in Tanzania (i.e. 39°40′ E, 5°10′ S) to protect its unique biodiversity that is currently threatened by anthropogenic activities. This conservancy shall include a narrow stretch of the coastline in the two countries, covering an estimated area of 2,500 km.^{2}

2.2 Sampling Sites Selection

The sampling stations were purposively selected taking into account different hydrological and ecological factors. Anthropogenic activities along the gradient of each river and urbanization, and at the estuaries where they (rivers) discharge their waters into the ocean were also considered. Accessibility was also another factor that was considered and, therefore, stations at designated bridges were given priority. The latitudes and longitudes of each sampling station was measured using a Geographical Position System (GPS), Gemina (US made). The reference point was at MAPU, a small stream on the northern side of Gazi town that was considered unperturbed, but it could receive sea water during the high tide. Sampling was done for twelve months.

2.3 Physico-chemical Measurements

Water sampled and analyzed were done using standard methods described in [48]. Temperature, conductivity, Dissolved oxygen (DO), total dissolved solutes (TDS), pH, salinity were measured in situ at each sampling sites, using a surveyor II model hydrolab. On the other

hand, samples for nitrate (NO_3^-), phosphate, and ammonia were filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter papers (47 mm) and evaluated by the nutrient auto analyzer (SKALAR SAN plus ANALYZER) based on the approach of [48].

2.4 Data Analysis

Data were analyzed with various statistical techniques, such as descriptive statistics, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the 64-bit R Software version 4.3.0 (R-core team, 2023). The data, consisting of 9 dependent variables collected from 12 sampling stations over 12 months, underwent thorough preprocessing. This involved organizing the data into a structured data frame and testing for assumptions, such as normality and homogeneity of variances, using Levene's and Shapiro-Wilk tests [49,50]. To obtain descriptive statistics for key variables, R functions `summary ()` and `describe ()` were applied [51]. The ANOVA function `aov ()` was then utilized on the structured data frame to conduct two-way ANOVA, providing insights into the variability within the data [52] (Montgomery, 2017). All the observed differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. For dimensionality reduction, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was employed using the `prcomp()` function [53]. This technique allows for a concise representation of the data's variability through principal components. The results of descriptive statistics, two-way ANOVA, and PCA were presented either in Tables or Figures, and visualized using the ggplot2 package [54], for enhanced clarity and readability of complex data structures.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Spatial Variation in Water Quality Attributes

The mean spatial variation in water temperature, pH, ammonia, and dissolved oxygen measured in this study are as shown in Fig. 2. Temperature ranged between 27.15 ± 0.21 °C at the sampling station of Mapu and 32.21 ± 4.55 °C at ME. There was significant difference observed between the sampling stations (F = 34.985; df = 11; P = <2e-16). Tukey's HSD pairwise mean comparisons showed no significant difference (P < 0.05) between station ME and MMJ, but they differed significantly with the rest of the stations. The lowest mean DO value of 5.55 ± 0.52 mg/L was recorded at station ME whereas the highest mean value was recorded at MM (7.74 ± 0.45

mg/L) (Table 1). DO concentrations differed significantly among the sampling stations during the study period (F = 96.928; df = 11; P = <2e-16) (Fig. 2). There was an interaction between the station and season (F = 2.773; df = 11; P = 0.00174). Tukey's HSD pairwise mean comparisons was significantly higher in MM (7.74 ± 0.45 mg/L) and lower in MKE (5.55 ± 0.52 mg/L). There was, however, slight similarity between station MM and UL, whereas MAPU, MKE and MG, were not statistically significantly different (Fig. 2). Further, slight mean DO differences were witnessed among stations MMJ, RM and UE; and between ULB, UE and MMJ. In addition, the pH levels were significantly different among all stations (F = 21.275; df = 11; p = <2e-16). However, Tukey's HSD test was significantly higher at station RM (8.2 ± 0.61) and lower at MKE (7.21 ± 0.45) whereas MAPU, MM, UL and ULB did not differ significantly, but highly varied with the rest of the stations, and showed slight differences with RE and UE. Equally, MG and RB did not differ statistically. There were significant differences between stations for conductivity (F = 125.929; df =11; P = <2e-16), but no significant interaction was observed on the station times season (Fig. 2).

The spatial variation for ammonia, phosphates, nitrates and TDS measured during this study are as indicated in Fig. 3. Ammonia level differed significantly between stations during the study period (F = 1.315e + 06; P < 0.05). Equally, the interaction between station and season did show a strong significant difference (F = 5.287e + 00; df = 11; P = 7.9e-08). *Post hoc* Tukey test did indicate a clear discrimination in terms of ammonia mean differences in all the sampled stations. However, the mean ammonia level was highest in station MG (2.83 ± 0.00 mg/L) and lowest in stations RE and MM with values of 0.06 ± 0.01 mg/L each. Phosphates concentration in all the stations demonstrated significant differences (F = 8.348e + 05; df = 11; P = <2e-16) (Fig. 3) with *Post hoc* Tukey test displaying a distinct discrimination among the stations where station MG (3.49 ± 0.01 mg/L) recorded the highest mean levels of phosphates and ULB the least (0.06 ± 0.01 mg/L). The interaction between station x season differed significantly (F = 5.791e + 00; P < 0.05). Nitrate levels also demonstrated a significant difference between the sampled stations (F = 1061.980; df = 11; P = <2e-16). *Post hoc* Tukey pairwise comparison showed that the mean nitrate levels was highest at station ULB (1.27 ± 0.0 mg/L) and lowest at RM which recorded a value of 0.03 ± 0.01 mg/L.

Fig. 2. Mean (±SD) spatial variation in temperature (A), pH (B), DO (C) and conductivity (D) measured in estuarine systems of South Coast of Kenya. The superscript letters alongside the standard deviation error bars represent mean differences among the sampling stations obtained by performing Tukey's HSD pairwise mean comparisons. The legend superimposed in each graph shows the changes in the mean of parameter levels among the sampling stations. MAPU: Mapu; ME: Mwena Estuary; MG: Mkurumudzi Gazi; MKE: Mkurumudzi Estuary; MM: Mwena Manda; MMJ: Mwena Majoreni; RB: Ramisi Bridge; RE: Ramisi Estuary; RM: Ramisi Mwachande; UE: Umba Estuary; UL: Umba Lenjo; ULB: Umba Lunga-lunga Bridge

Fig. 3. Mean (±SD) spatial variation of ammonia (A), phosphates (B), nitrates (C) and TDS (D) measured in estuarine systems of South Coast of Kenya. The superscript letters alongside the standard deviation error bars represent mean differences among the sampling stations obtained by performing Tukey's HSD pairwise mean comparisons. The legend superimposed in each graph shows the changes in the mean of parameter levels among the sampling stations. ME: Mwena Estuary; MG: Mkurumudzi Gazi; MKE: Mkurumudzi Estuary; MM: Mwena Manda; MMJ: Mwena Majoreni; RB: Ramisi Bridge; RE: Ramisi Estuary; RM: Ramisi Mwachande; UE: Umba Estuary; UL: Umba Lejo; ULB: Umba Lunga-lunga Bridge.

Fig. 4. Mean (±SD) spatial variation of salinity measured in estuarine systems of South Coast of Kenya. The superscript letters alongside the standard deviation error bars represent mean differences among the sampling stations obtained by performing Tukey's HSD pairwise mean comparisons. The legend superimposed in each graph shows the changes in the mean of parameter levels among the sampling stations. ME: Mwena Estuary; MG: Mkurumudzi Gazi; MKE: mkurumudzi Estuary; MM: Mwena Manda; MMJ: Mwena Majoreini; RB: Ramisi Bridge; RE: Ramisi Estuary; RM: Ramisi Mwachande; UE: Umba Estuary; UL: Umba Lenjo; ULB: Umba Lunga-lunga Bridge.

Table 1. loading factor of water quality parameters through sampling stations during the study period between September 2018 and August 2019

Parameter	Comp.1	Comp.2	Comp.3	Comp.4	Comp.5
Temp. (°C)	0.1253[d]	0.2910[c]	0.5434[a]	0.3929[b]	0.3124[c]
DO (mg/L)	-0.3014[d]	0.3347[a]	0.2994[b]	-0.4544[e]	0.2356[c]
Cond.	0.4378[a]	0.0180[b]	-0.0755[b]	-0.0333[b]	-0.0614[b]
TDS (mg/L)	0.4947[a]	0.1006[b]	-0.1087[c]	0.1744[b]	-0.1340[c]
Salinity (ppm)	0.4285[a]	0.2052[b]	0.0002[d]	0.2357[b]	0.0195[c]
pH	-0.3616[d]	0.2315[b]	-0.0402[c]	0.3390[a]	-0.7178[e]
Phosphates (mg/L)	0.0966[a]	-0.5271[c]	0.0759[a]	-0.2045[b]	-0.2337[b]
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.1243[b]	0.3307[a]	-0.6053[d]	-0.3378[c]	0.1438[b]
Ammonia (mg/L)	-0.0874[b]	-0.5463[c]	-0.0966[b]	0.2754[a]	0.3625[a]

Temp = temperature; DO = dissolved oxygen; Cond = conductivity; TDS = total dissolved solids; and pH = potential of hydrogen


Fig. 5. PCA biplot showing loadings for sampled stations for water quality physico-chemical attributes in the estuarine ecosystems of south coast, Kenya. 1=Mapu; 2=RM: Ramisi Mwachande; 3= RB: Ramisi Bridge; 4= RE: Ramisi Estuary; 5= ULB: Umba Lunga-lunga Bridge; 6= UL: Umba Lenjo; 7= UE: Umba Estuary; 8= MM: Mwena Manda; 9= MMJ: Mwena Majoreini;10= ME: Mwena Estuary 11= MG: Mkurumudzi Gazi and 12= MKE: mkurumudzi Estuary.

There were significant differences observed in salinity between the sampling stations (F = 120.060; df = 11; *P* = <2e-16) (Fig. 4). There was no interaction, however, observed on station times season (*P* = 0.745). *Post hoc* Tukey pairwise comparison revealed that the mean salinity level was highest at station ME (5.83 ± 1.19 mg/L) and lowest at MAPU (0.13 ± 0.05 mg/L). Of interest, however, is that the mean salinity concentrations in stations MAPU, MM, RM, UE and UL were not significantly different (Fig. 4).

The results for PCA spatial loadings of physico-chemical water quality variables in south coast of Kenya estuarine systems are as shown in Table 1 above. Loadings are the weighted effects on water quality derived from the original variables. Original data was mapped into five principal components/dimensions. These components were considered to be highly significant because they explained 98% of the data variance. Components 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 accounted for 34.02%, 24.13 %, 12.99%, 7.41% and 7.16% respectively.

In most sampling stations, conductivity showed both negative and positive weak influence on water quality with loadings close to zero indicated on the principal components, except in principal component 1 where a moderately strong factor loading of 0.4378 was observed. Temperature and salinity exhibited very weak loadings to water quality in all the components except in component 3 (0.5434) and 1 (0.4285) respectively where moderate influence in water quality was experienced. On the other hand, pH and nutrients (phosphates, nitrates, and ammonia) exhibited mixed effects with both negative and positive loadings on the principal components.

In components 2, 3, 4 and 5, phosphates and ammonia, nitrates, DO and pH exhibited between moderate and strong effects on water quality. The moderate positive contribution of salinity (0.4285), TDS (0.4947) and conductivity (0.4378) to changes in water quality contributed to the highest variance (component 1), followed by the negative contribution of phosphates (-0.5271) and ammonia (-0.5463) both of component 2, and the negative and positive contributions of nitrates (-0.6053) and temperature (0.5434) respectively in component 3. In general, conductivity and TDS made minimal contributions to changes in water quality (Table 1). The PCA explained 56.1% of the variability in water quality for the first two components (Fig. 5). Component one (PCA 1 = 34% of variance) reflected the effect of water quality on different stations separating the most influenced 5 sites from 3, 11, and 12 (Fig. 5).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Water Quality Parameters in Estuarine Ecosystems

Water quality monitoring in estuarine environment using physico-chemical parameters is one of the most important tools used by researchers to underpin its function and scrutinize both natural and anthropogenic factors influencing changes over time. Temperature plays a key role in terms of growth maintenance, reproduction and the community structure of resident biota [12,55,56]. Temperature in a water body also enhances nitrification process and catalyzes ion exchange, sorption and weathering processes [57]. Temperature did not vary so much among all the sampling stations except stations ME and MMJ which registered the highest probably due to low depth hence light

attenuation gets into the bottom hence warming up the water. The two stations may have received warm waters from the cooling plants situated just a few meters upstream. Generally, temperature levels were above the room temperature with an average of 28.36 ± 1.79 °C. This could be as a result of climate change where in the recent past warm temperatures are being experienced in most of the tropical coasts. This scenario is exacerbated by unprecedent clearing of native riparian vegetation thus light heating directly on the surface of the water. In addition, anthropogenic activities such as agricultural production could probably increase the rate of soil erosion causing an increase in particulate organic matter and total suspended solids which absorbed more heat thus increasing temperature levels. This study's findings are in contrast with other similar studies that have been reported in the tropical and subtropical estuaries [58]. The significant seasonal variation of temperature in the Kenyan coast estuaries may be due to the fact that surface water temperature in a shallow estuary is controlled by local conditions of the atmospheric temperature.

A small change in pH can trigger a serious effect on both chemical and biological attributes of an aquatic ecosystem and as a result impact on community structure. It is therefore, one of the most key environmental variables that shape the ecology of any given ecosystem. The pH levels in south coast estuarine environment of Kenya varied between 8.2 ± 0.61 and 7.21 ± 0.45 but the mean was about 7.5 which is not badly off in terms of neutrality. This means that many of the sampled stations tended to be alkaline most probably due to underground saltwater intrusion. The High pH values witnessed could imply alkali prevalence that can be explained by the fact that huge human population is concentrated along the coastline hence intensified socio-economic activities affecting the pH of the estuarine systems [42,59,60]. High PH values could also be as a result of surface run offs originating from mature landfills. According to [61] old landfills can attain pH levels ranging from 7.5 to 8.6 unlike young ones that have reported values between 5.0 to 6.5.

These results also do imply that the south coast estuarine systems are not acidic because there was no single station where a pH value less than 7 was observed. pH showing a neutral value but can range to a maximum of approximately 9 is conducive enough to support any biological activity but below 4 is an indication of acidity

hence toxic to a majority of aquatic flora and fauna [62]. Further, pH levels can change from acidic to alkaline and vice versa depending on coagulation and mixing of colloid particles with water [63]. During the bicarbonate process the pH values can also change especially when photosynthesis occur where CO_2 is removed from the system. The incoming rivers that flow a mixture of catchments dilute the seawater thus reducing the salinity levels [64]. The high DO concentrations noted during the elevated levels in temperature could be attributed to oxygenic denitrification by microbes where NO is disproportionated directly into N_2 and O_2 [65]. The produced O_2 could support life in anoxic environments thus giving microbes an added advantage to thrive [66]. Reduced concentrations of DO for the most part of the year corresponds well with the increased levels in temperature whereby there could have been decomposition processes in organic matter thus high consumption of DO. Ammonium concentrations rose considerably during the dry season most probably due to low surface water dilution hence effluents from point and non-point sources enter the river-estuarine systems undiluted.

There are two types of tides in marine ecosystems (i.e. high or low) and they highly influence the level of salinity in estuarine systems. The high levels of salinity that were experienced in some stations (i.e. ME, MKE, MMJ, RB, RE, and ULB) were as a result of high tide bringing in mainly salt water. High salinity levels could also be an indication of the presence of such ions as chloride, fluoride, bromine, and iodine. According to [56] increased levels of salinity show the presence of halide ions. The downstream-upstream decrease in salinity in many of the sampled stations during the present study can be explained by the dilution effect of the respective discharging rivers. The high level of salinity that was recorded in one of the upstream stations of Ramisi (RB) could be as a result of seepage of hot springs from the underground (riverbed). Generally, the decreased downstream-upstream salinity gradient in the South Coast estuary supports large biodiversity as is evidenced by the growth and development of thick mangrove forest with a distinct zonation of different species. *Avicennia* species for example, is tolerant of high concentrations of salinity hence is found in the downstream front with *Rizophora mucrunata* growing at the backward. Such an occurrence of mangrove zonation has been reported in Bamosso, the Rio Ntem and the Cameroon

estuary [67]. Going by the salinity levels recorded in this study, the Kenyan south coast estuary can be classified as oligohaline.

TDS varied significantly across the sampling stations ($p < 0.05$). High levels of rainfall coupled with surface run-off as a result of intensive anthropogenic activities within the given aquatic ecosystems has a net effect on the level of suspended particle matter. Therefore, the TDS concentrations recorded in the south coast of Kenya can be attributed to unpredictable weather patterns that are reminiscent in the area. As a result, irregular rainfall has been experienced causing high level soil erosion across the basin that is fueled by such activities as agriculture and infrastructural developments. These findings corroborate well with [68] associated enhanced surface runoff with unprecedented increase in soil erosion to the main cause of TDS. Equally, the TDS concentration levels observed in the south coast estuary of Kenya can also be linked to suspended matter as a result of salt intrusion in the estuary. Such an observation is witnessed increasing downstream to upstream and in most instances is likely to be higher during low tide. TDS intimate with salty water and depending on their rate of settling to the substrate can limit horizontal transport by the action of waves [69].

Nutrients such as nitrates and phosphates vary greatly in coastal estuarine environments depending on anthropogenic activities and this may vary from one point to another. Other factors that impact on them include the dilution effect of the freshwater river discharge, precipitation, tidal changes and the ingestion by both macro and micro plants (autotrophs). Surface runoff changes the chemical composition of rivers and thus the receiving lotic systems because a lot of organic matter rich in nitrates and phosphates that may have gathered on roads and car parks during the dry spell are likely to be swept downstream during spates [70,71]. Generally, the low levels for nitrates and phosphates that were observed in the present study could be as a result of high rate of absorption by the mangroves that are common and intensively distributed in the south coast estuarine ecosystem of Kenya. Mangroves are regarded as the best plants when it comes to carbon sequestration. Equally, the rich deposits of sediments usually trapped by the mangrove roots make them excellent sinks for nutrients hence low levels could be witnessed on the surface waters. According to [72,73] and [34], sediments

in aquatic systems play a key role in the storage of pollutants.

Nonetheless, changes in nutrient concentrations are shaped by both point and non-point sources of pollution [1,3,6-9]. During spates, there is a lot of surface water run-off that carries much of the dissolved nutrients that are linked with sediments and also it is in such a season that leaching do occur from farmlands. Ammonia levels decreased downstream of the respective river (Fig. 3) due to probably farming activities, urbanization, and industrialization which over time are again likely to double. It is, however, expected that the highest amount of ammonia ought to be in the upstream downstream of the estuarine system owing to the fact of elevated amount of sediments burying organic matter in form of leaves, defecation and general debris of wood as well as the decaying of dead animals. Another reason for low ammonia levels could be due to conversion of all organic matter into nitrates by bacterial microbes [68-70].

In stations ULB, UL, MMJ and ME, PCA showed that nitrates, salinity, TDS, conductivity and temperature were positively correlated with factor Comp.1, thus describing an organic matter enrichment from the inflow fluvial water discharged by each respective river. DO and pH are negatively correlated with factor Comp.1 hence they describe a dissolved salt gradient. Therefore, two gradients are evident in the South Coast estuarine system of Kenya namely; downstream marine stations characterized by mineralization from the sea, and the organic matter gradient upstream dominated by the fluvial stations. Authoritatively, it can be deduced that that water quality in the South Coast of Kenya estuary is impacted by natural processes and anthropogenic activities.

5. CONCLUSION

Estuarine ecosystems are the most productive aquatic ecosystems in the world which support a rich biodiversity. Water quality in these systems then remains crucial in sustaining resident biota in these systems. However, they are highly impacted by human drivers for socio-economic gain. The aim of this study was to investigate river-estuarine water quality on a spatial scale. All the sampled water quality attributes differed significantly among the sampled stations showing clearly that different sites in the South Coast estuary of Kenya were impacted by different anthropogenic activities. The study did

also indicate that all the 12 sampling stations were impacted by both point and non-point sources of pollution. The PCA demonstrated that the Kenyan South Coast estuary is mainly affected by two gradients: mineralization from the sea that had an impact on the downstream marine stations, and the organic matter gradient at the upstream stations dominated by the fluvial inflows from the respective rivers. Consequently, water quality in the south coast of Kenya estuary is impacted by natural processes and anthropogenic activities. Moreover, the Kenyan south coast estuary can be classified as an oligohaline systems. There is need to protect the shorelines of each respective river draining a complex catchment in order to minimize surface run-off and therefore, there is reason for restoring riparian land by planting trees. Sound agricultural practices in the entire river basins is encouraged in order to reduce downstream water quality impacts. Lastly, we recommend for continued regular water quality assessment and monitoring to acquire sufficient data to be used in coming up with sound policy frameworks towards sustainable management of South Coast estuarine system of Kenya.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1.   Masese FO, Wanderi EW, Achieng AO, Nyakeya K, Fouchy K, McClain M.

Bioassessment of multiple stressors in Frotropical rivers: Evaluating the performance of macroinvertebrate-based index of biotic integrity, diversity and regional biotic indices. Frontiers in Environmental Science – Freshwater Science. 2023; 1-27.
DOI: 10.3389/fenvs.2023.1015623

2. Nyakeya K, Gichana Z, Nyamora JM, Kipkorir KGK, Kerich E, Angima M. Some aspects of biology and ecology of River Perkerra in Lake Baringo Basin, Kenya. Journal of Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems. 2023a; 1(1):1-10.
Available:https://blueprintacademicpublishers.com/index.php/JATEMS/

3. Nyakeya K, Gichana Z, Nyamora JM, Masese FO, Getabu A, Onchieku J, et al. Cage farming in the environmental mix of Lake Victoria: An analysis of its status, potential environmental and ecological effects and a call for sustainability. Aquatic Environmental Health and Management. 2023b;25(4):37-52.
DOI: 10.14321/aehm.025.04.37

4. Aura MC, Nyamweya CS, Owiti HO, Odoli CO, Musa S, Njiru J, et al. Citizen science for bio-indication: Development of a community-based index of ecosystem integrity for assessing the status of afrotropical riverine ecosystems. Front. Water. 2021; 2:609215.
DOI: 10.3389/frwa.2020.609215

5. Nyakeya K, Chemoiwa E, Nyamora JM, Ogombe CO, Gichana ZM, Mbaru KE, et al. Endemic lake baringo oreochromis niloticus fishery on verge of collapse: Review of causes and strategies directed to its recovery, conservation and management for sustainable exploitation. Lakes & Reserv. 2020a;00:1–16.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1111/lre.12344

6. Nyakeya K, Raburu PO, Masese FO, Tsuma J, Nyamora JM, Magondu EW, et al. Sensitivity of the native Chironomus species in monitoring of riverine ecosystems in the catchments of Lake Victoria Drainage Basin, Kenya. Africa Environmental Research Journal. 2017a;2(2):126-133.

7. Nyakeya K. Sensitivity of Chironomus species in monitoring of riverine ecosystems in the catchments of Lake Victoria Drainage Basin, Kenya. MSc. Thesis, University of Eldoret, Kenya; 2017b.

8. Masese FO, Omukoto JO, Nyakeya K. Biomonitoring as a prerequisite for sustainable water resources: A review of current status, opportunities and challenges to scaling up in East Africa. Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology. 2013; 13:173–191.

9. Nyakeya K, Raburu PO, Masese FO, Gichuki J. Assessment of pollution impacts on ecological Integrity of the Kisian and Kisat Rivers in Lake Victoria Drainage Basin, Kenya. African Journal of Science and Technology. 2009;3(4*):97-107.

10. Rabalais NN, Turner RE, Díaz RJ, Justić D. Global change and eutrophication of coastal waters. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 2009; 66:1528-1537.
DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsp047

11. Walumona JR, Kaunda-Arara B, Ogombe CO, Murakaru JM, Raburu P, Amisi FM, Nyakeya, K. Effects of lake-level changes on water quality and fisheries production of Lake Baringo. Kenya. Ecohydrology. 2021;e2368.
Available:https://doi.org/10. 1002/eco.2368

12. Nyakeya K, Kipkorir KGK, Nyamora JM, Odoli CO, Kerich E. Dynamics of hydrology on the physico-chemical water quality parameters and trophic State of Lake Baringo, Kenya. Africa Environmental Review Journal. 2018a;3(1):94-107.

13. Nyakeya K, Nyamora JM, Kerich E. Incidence of larvae deformities in *Chironomus species* (Diptera: Chironomidae) as bio-indicators of water quality in Lake Victoria Basin, Kenya. African Environmental Review Journal. 2018b;2(2):126-133.

14. Nyakeya K, Raburu PO, Nyamora JM, Kerich E, Mangondu EW. Life cycle responses of the midge of *Chironomus species* (Diptera: Chironomidae) to sugarcane and paper pulp effluents exposure. African Journal of Education Science and Technology. 2018c;4(3):1-10.

15. Gichana Z, Njiru M, Raburu PO, Masese FO. Effects of human activities on macroinvertebrate community structure and water quality in the upper catchment of Mara River Basin. Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and Management. 2015;20:128–137.

16. Programme U. Raymond C. The united nations world water development report 2018. Nature-Based Solutions for Water.

UNESCO, Paris (Chapter 6): Enabling Accelerated Uptake of NBS. A; 2018.

17. Li T, Horton RM, Bader DA, Liu F, Sun Q, Kinney PL. Long-term projections of temperature-related mortality risks for ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, and acute ischemic heart disease under changing climate in Beijing, China. Environ. Int. 2018;112:1-9.
DOI: 10.1016/j.envint.2017.12.006

18. Medeiros PRP, Knoppers BA, Cavalcante GH, de Souza WFL. Changes in nutrient loads (N, P and Si) in the São Francisco estuary after the construction of dams. Braz. Arch. Biol. Techn. 2011; 54: 387-397.
Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132011000200022

19. Bertone E, Stewart RA, Zhang H, O'Halloran K. Analysis of the mixing processes in the subtropical Advancetown Lake, Australia. J. Hydrol. 2015;522:67-79.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.046

20. Nyakeya K, Gichana ZM, Nyamora JM, Boera P. Acute toxicity tests (LC50) of the native *Chironomus species* (Diptera: Chironomidae) exposed to sugarcane and kraft pulp and paper mill effluents. Kenya Aquatica Journal. 2022;7(01):42-52.

21. Okuku EO, Imbayi KL, Omondi OG, Wanjeri V, Wayayi O, Sezi MC, Kombo MM, Mwangi, S. & Oduor, N. Decadal pollution assessment and monitoring along the kenya coast (Monitoring of Marine pollution Ed.), IntechOpen. 2019;1-15.
Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.82606

22. Nyamora JM, Njiru JN, Getabu A, Nyakeya K, Muthumbi A. An overview of heavy metal pollution in the Western Indian Ocean (WIO) region of Kenya: A review. Journal of Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems. 2023; 1(1): 35-41.
Available:https://blueprintacademicpublishers.com/index.php/JATEMS/

23. Wanjeri WV, Okuku EO, Ohowa BO. Distribution of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls present in surface sediments of Sabaki and Tana estuaries, Kenya. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science. 2022;20(2):57-67.
Available:http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/wiojms.v20i2.5.

24. Malmqvist B, Rundle S. Threats to the running water ecosystems of the world. Environ. Conserv. 2002;29:134-153.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892902000097

25. Walling D, Fang D. Recent trends in the suspended sediment loads of the world's rivers. Global Planet. Change. 2003;39:111-126.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(03)00020-1

26. Wang Z, Walker GW, Muir DCG, Nagatani-Yoshida K. Toward a global understanding of chemical pollution: A first comprehensive analysis of national and regional chemical inventories. Environmental Science and Technology. 2020;54(5):2575–2584.

27. Le T-H, Truong T, Hoang TL, Pham TTV, Pham TPT, Tran LT. Influences of anthropogenic activities on water quality in the Saigon river, Ho Chi Minh city. J. Water Health. 2022;20(3):491-504.
DOI: 10.2166/wh.2022.233

28. Molina-Navarro E, Andersen HE, Nielsen A, Thodsen H, Trolle D. Quantifying the combined effects of land use and climate changes on stream flow and nutrient loads: A modelling approach in the Odense Fjord catchment (Denmark). Sci. Total Environ. 2018;621:253-264.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.251

29. Nunes J, Seixas J, Keizer J, Ferreira A. Sensitivity of runoff and soil erosion to climate change in two Mediterranean watersheds. Part II: Assessing impacts from changes in storm rainfall, soil moisture and vegetation cover. Hydrol. process. 2009;23:12121220.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444328455.ch15

30. Shrestha MK, Recknagel F, Frizenschaf J, Meyer W. Future climate and land uses effects on flow and nutrient loads of a Mediterranean catchment in South Australia. Sci. Total Environ. 2017;590(591):186-193.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.197.

31. Gantidis N, Pervolarakis M, Fytianos K. Assessment of the quality characteristics of two lakes (Koronia and Volvi) of N. greece. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2007;125:175-181.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-006-9250-5

32. Zhang K, WeiYL, Zeng EY. A review of environmental and human exposure to persistent organic pollutants in the Pearl River Delta, South China. Science of Total Environment. 2013; 463:1093–1110.

33. Barasa MW, Lalah JO, Wandiga SO. Seasonal variability of persistent organochlorine pesticide residues in marine fish along the Indian Ocean coast of Kenya. Toxicology and Environmental Chemistry. 2008;90(3):535-547.
DOI: 10.1080/027 7 2240701556312

34. Nyamora JM, Njiru JN, Getabu A, Nyakeya K, Muthumbi A. An overview of heavy metal pollution in the Western Indian Ocean (WIO) region of Kenya: A review. Journal of Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems. 2023;1(1):35-41.
Available:https://blueprintacademicpublishers.com/index.php/JATEMS/

35. Long-Ling O, Yun-Rong S, Jie-Qing Y, Shu-Jie M, Qi Y, Yun-Long W. Water quality assessment and pollution source analysis of Yaojiang River Basin: A case study of inland rivers in Yuyao City, China. Water Supply. 2021;13:1–16.

36. Mahadevan H, Krishnan KA, Pillai RR. Sudhakaran S. Assessment of urban river water quality and developing strategies for phosphate removal from water and wastewaters: integrated monitoring and mitigation studies. SN Applied Science. 2020; 2: 772.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-2571-0

37. Muhaya BB, Kayembe MWK, Mulongo SC, Kunyonga CZ, Mushobekwa FZ. Trace metal contamination of water in the Lubumbashi river basin, Kafubu, Kimilolo and Kinkalabwamba rivers in Lubumbashi city, Democratic Republic of Congo. Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering. 2017;B6(6):301-311.

38. Ololade OO, Mavimbela S, Oke SA, Makhadi R. Impact of leachate from northern landfill site in bloemfontein on water and soil quality: Implications for water and food security. Sustainability. 2019;11:1-9.

39. Lu W, Wu J, Li Z, Cui N, Cheng S. Water quality assessment of an urban river receiving tail water using the single-factor index and principal component analysis. Water Supply. 2019; 19(2):603-609.

40. Ternes T, Joss A, Oehlmann J. Occurrence, fate, removal and assessment of emerging contaminants in water in the water cycle (from wastewater to drinking water). Water Research. 2015;72:1-2.

41. Lina AA, Buregea H, Mindele U, Bouezmarni M, Vasel JL. Parasitological loads of rivers crossing the city of Bukavu, Democratic Republic of Congo. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research. 2015;19(2):412-422.

42. Bisimwa MA, Mwanuzi F, Nobert J. Water quality management in lake kivu basin, DR Congo: estimation of nutrient and sediment loading into lake kivu from bukavu sub-basin. Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany. 2014;128.

43. Johnson UK. River sediment supply, sedimentation and transport of the highly turbid sediment plume in Malindi Bay, Kenya. Journal of Geographical Sciences. 2013;23(3):465-489.
DOI: 10.1007/s11442-013-1022-x

44. Nyamora JM, Nyakeya K, Wairimu E, Mwihaki G, Muya J. Long line seaweed farming as an alternative to other commonly used methods in Kenyan Coast, Kenya Aquatica Journal. 2018; 4(01):23-38.

45. World Wild Fund (WWF). Deforestation. 2016; Retrieved on 24th April 2024 from Available:http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/deforestation/

46. KNBS. 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census. Volume I: Population by County and Sub-County. 2019;39p.

47. Katuya JM. Water Allocation Assessment: A study of hydrological simulation on mkurumdzi river basin. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya; 2014.

48. Grasshoff K, Kremling K., Ehrhardt M. Methods of seawater analysis-third edition. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, Weinheim. 1999;203-223.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/97835276 13984

49. Royston JP. An extension of Shapiro and Wilk's W Test for Normality to Large Samples. Applied Statistics. 1982;31(2):115-124.

50. Levene H. Robust tests for equality of variances. In: Olkin, I., Ed., Contributions to Probability and Statistics, Stanford University Press, Palo Alto. 1960;278-292.

51. R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for statistical computing, Vienna; 2023.

52. Montgomery GA, Dunn RR, Fox R, Jongejans E, Leather SR, Saunders ME, et

al. Is the insect apocalypse upon us? How to find out. Biological Conservation. 2020;241:108327.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108327

53. Cozzolino D, Power A, Chapman J. Interpreting and reporting principal component analysis (CPA) in food science analysis and beyond. Food Analytical Methods. 2019;12:2469–2473.
DOI: 10.1007/ s12161-019-01605-5

54. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York; 2016.
ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4
Available:https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org

55. Nyakeya K, Chemoiwa JE, Nyamora JM, Kerich E, Gichana Z. Zooplankton communities of Lake Victoria and its effects on the fishery. Africa Environmental Review Journal. 2020b;3 (2):45-57.

56. Fatema K, Maznah WW, Isa MM. Spatial and temporal variation of physico-chemical parameters in the merbok estuary, kedah, Malaysia. Tropical Life Sciences Research. 2014;25: 1-19.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3329/bjz.v41i1.23294

57. Ngabirano H, Byamugisha D, Ntambi E. Effects of seasonal variations in physical parameters on quality of gravity flow water in Kyanamira sub-county, Kabale district, Uganda. Journal Water Resource Protection. 2016;8:1297–1309.

58. Davies OA, Ugwumba OA. Tidal influence on nutrients status and phytoplankton population of okpoka creek, upper bonny estuary, Nigeria. Journal of Marine Biology. 2013;2:1-17.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/684739

59. Langenberg VT, Nyamushahu S, Roijackers R, Koelmans AA. External nutrient sources for lake Tanganyika. Journal of Great Lakes Research. 2003;29(2):169–18.

60. Bagalwa M, Majaliwa M, Mushagalusa N, Karume K. Estimation of transported pollutant load from small urban Kahuwa river micro-catchment in Lake Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo. Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering. 2013;B2:460–476.

61. Zakaria SNF, Aziz HA. Characteristic of leachate at Alor Pongsu landfill site perak, Malaysia: A comparative study. IOP Conf.

Ser. Earth Environmental Science. 2018;140:012013.

62. Abowei JFN. Salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH and Surface water temperature conditions in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. Advanced Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2010;2:36-40.

63. Kumary KA, Azis PA, Natarajan P. Water quality of the adimalathura estuary southwest coast of India. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of India. 2007;49:1-6.

64. Ra K, Kim J-K, Hong, SH, Yim UH, Shim WJ, Lee S-Y, et al. Assessment of pollution and ecological risk of heavy metals in the surface sediments of Ulsan Bay, Korea. Ocean Science Journal. 2014;49:279–289.

65. Zhu B, Wang J, Bradford LM, Ettwig K, Hu B, Lueders T. Nitric oxidedismtase (nod) genes as a functional marker for the diversity and phylogeny of methane-driven oxygenic denitrifiers. Frontiers Microbiology. 2019;10(157):1-9.
DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01577

66. Zhu B, Bradford L, Huang S, Szalay A, Leix C, Weissbach M, et al. Unexpected diversity and high abundance of putative nitric oxide dismutase (n.d.) genes in contaminated aquifers and wastewater treatment systems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2017;83:e02750-16.
DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02750-16

67. Vajravelu M, Martin Y, Ayyappan S, Mayakrishnan M. Seasonal influence of physico-chemical parameters on phytoplankton diversity, community structure and abundance at Parangipettai coastal waters, Bay of Bengal, South East Coast of India. Oceanologia. 2018;60:114-127.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2017.08.003

68. Bisimwa AM, Amisi FM, Bamawa CM, Muhaya BB, Kankonda AB. Water quality assessment and pollution source analysis in Bukavu urban rivers of the Lake Kivu basin (Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo). Environmental and Sustainability Indicators. 2022;14:1-14.

69. Teshome FB. Seasonal water quality index and suitability of the water body to designated uses at the eastern catchment of Lake Hawassa. Environmental Science Pollution and Research. 2020;27:279–290.

70. Vaccari M, Tudor T, Vinti G. Characteristics of leachate from landfills

and dumpsites in Asia, Africa and Latin America: An overview. Waste Management. 2019;95:416–431.

71. WWAP (United Nations World Water Assessment Programme). The United Nations World Water Development Report 2017. Wastewater: The Untapped Resource. UNESCO, Paris. 2017;174.

72. Okuku EO, Ohowa B, Ongore, CO, Kiteresi L, Wanjeri VO, Okumu S. et al. Screening of potential ecological risk of metal contamination in some Kenyan estuaries. Research Journal of Physical and Applied Sciences. 2013;2(4):052 – 063.

73. Okuku EO, Bouillon S, Ochiewo JO, Munyi F, Kiteresi LI, Tole M. The impacts of hydropower development on rural livelihood sustenance. International Journal of Water Resources Development. 2016;32(2):267-285.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/116841