

**British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 13(4): 1-7, 2016, Article no.BJPR.29662 ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759** 



**SCIENCEDOMAIN international**  www.sciencedomain.org

# **In vitro Cytotoxicity of Scopoletin Derived from Eupatorium laevigatum Lam.**

#### **Ivana Grivicich1,2\*, Rodrigo Noronha de Mello<sup>1</sup> , Alexandre Quadros Ledel<sup>3</sup> , Tatiana Moreira da Silva<sup>1</sup> , Daiane Lopes da Silva Dieter<sup>1</sup> , Felipe Umpierre Conter1,2, Mariana Sagrillo Grossi<sup>1</sup> and Alexandre Barros Falcão Ferraz2,3**

<sup>1</sup> Laboratório de Biologia do Câncer, Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, RS, Brasil. <sup>2</sup>Programa de Pós Graduação em Biologia Celular e Molecular Aplicada a Saúde, Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, RS, Brasil.  ${}^{3}$ Laboratório de Farmacognosia e Fitoquímica, Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, RS, Brasil.

#### **Authors' contributions**

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors IG and ABFF designed the study. Authors IG, RNM, FUC, MSG and ABFF prepared the manuscript. Author AQL performed the sample extraction and phytochemical analysis. Authors TMS, DLSD and FUC performed the biological assays. Authors IG and ABFF supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/BJPR/2016/29662 Editor(s): (1) R. Deveswaran, M.S. Ramaiah College of Pharmacy, Bangalore, India. Reviewers: (1) Bing Hu, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China. (2) César Luiz Da Silva Guimaraes, Brazilian Institute of Environment and Natural Renewable Resources-IBAMA, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/16551

> **Received 22nd September 2016 Accepted 8th October 2016 Published 14th October 2016**

**Short Research Article** 

# **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** Eupatorium laevigatum Lam. is commonly used as anti-inflammatory, antiseptic, antirheumatic, and in the treatment of colds and ulcers. The present study aimed to characterize the active fractions of the aerial parts of E. laevigatum, isolate its major constituents and to evaluate its cytotoxic effects against human tumor cells.

**Methodology:** Phytochemical analysis of the aerial parts of E. laevigatum detected the presence of flavonoids, saponins and coumarins. Nuclear magnetic resonance with carbon and hydrogen determined that coumarin to be scopoletin. The human cancer cell lines HT-29, NCI-H460, MCF-7 and RXF-393 were used to evaluate cytotoxicity through the sulforodamine B assay as well the evaluation of oxidative damage through the thiobarbituric acid reactive species assay.

\_

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: grivicich@terra.com.br;

**Results:** Our study has shown that E. laevigatum crude extract and chloroform, ethyl acetate and butanol fractions are not cytotoxic in the concentrations used (up to 100 µg/mL), but the coumarin scopoletin isolated from the aerial parts of E. laevigatum presented a cytotoxic effect against NCI-H460 and RXF-393 cells ( $IC_{50}$  value of 19.1 and 23.3  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively). Scopoletin did not show any oxidative effect.

**Conclusion:** The coumarin scopoletin can be found in E. laevigatum and this compound induces cytotoxicity in NCI-H460 and RXF-393 cell lines. Moreover, it is suggested that the cytotoxic effect of scopoletin is no related to oxidative damage.

Keywords: Eupatorium; antiproliferative; coumarin; oxidative damage.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Plants, fungus, insects, marine organisms and bacteria are important sources of biologically active substances. In economic terms, biodiversity usually transcends the boundaries of conventional industries, because it is a valuable source of biological data and very useful chemicals to discover innovative drugs [1]. Until now, a significant portion of cytotoxic agents used in treatments of human tumors is derived from natural products. The most successful examples are vinca alkaloids, anthacyclines, taxoids and camptothecin derivatives [2].

The Eupatorium laevigatum species of the genus Eupatorium, belonging to the Asteraceae family [3] is characterized by being a perennial shrub, 3-9 feet high, native throughout the tropical and subtropical America, from Mexico to the Northern Argentina [4]. This species is related to an economic and social potential because of its therapeutic use related to various diseases [5,6].

In South America (Argentina, Chile, Ecuador and Peru) Eupatorium species are commonly used as anti-inflammatory [3], antiseptic and antirheumatic  $[7,8,9]$ . In Brazil, E. laevigatum is traditionally used to treat colds and ulcers. In the state of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil, its popular use is related to potent effects as an abortifacient, laxative, regulating the menstrual cycle, from disease of poor prognosis, as well as colds and coughs [10].

Given the popular use of E. laevigatum related to its toxic effects, the purpose of this study was, using the technique of bio-driven fractionation, to characterize the active fractions, isolate its major constituents, and also to evaluate the cytotoxicity and oxidative damage against four types of human tumor cells: HT-29 (colon carcinoma), MCF-7 (breast carcinoma), NCI-H460 (non-small cell lung cancer), and RXF-393 (renal carcinoma).

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Plant Materials**

The aerial parts of Eupatorium laevigatum (Asteraceae) were collected in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Herbarium specimens were prepared for identification and registration of plant material in the herbarium of the Botany Department of ULBRA by Professor Sergio A. L. Bordignon (HEREULBRA-3061). The material immediately after collection was selected and dried in an airy atmosphere, under direct light and then grounded in a knife mill.

#### **2.2 Preparation of Plant Extracts and Fractions**

The air-dried and powdered aerial parts of Eupatorium laevigatum were first exhaustively extracted with methanol (1:10; plant/solvent) in a Soxhlet apparatus (5 x 48 h). The crude methanolic extract was evaporated to dryness in vacuum. Using the same method, a new amount Eupatorium laevigatum was subsequently extracted with chloroform, ethyl acetate and butanol. After, all the fractions were evaporated to dryness in vacuum at 50°C.

#### **2.3 Phytochemical Analysis**

The plant material was submitted to qualitative phytochemical screening to identify the main classes of active constituents. The phytochemical composition of Eupatorium laevigatum was determined according to the method described previously [11]. These reactions consist of colorimetric methods for the qualitative detection of flavonoids, tannins, anthraquinones, alkaloids, saponins, coumarins and cardiac glycosides.

#### **2.4 Analysis and Isolation of Compounds**

The fractions obtained from the different samples were submitted to thin layer chromatography

using several eluent systems. Thus, it was possible to detect in the ethyl acetate fraction a major compound. This product was designated as P1 and isolated by preparative chromatography on silica gel, using as eluent chloroform-methanol (95/5; v/v). Subsequently, this product was isolated by preparative chromatography on silica gel and submitted for analysis by nuclear magnetic resonance carbon  $(RMN^{13}C)$  and hydrogen  $(RMN^{1}H)$ .

# **2.5 Cell Culture and Maintenance**

HT-29 human colon adenocarcinoma, NCI-H460 human non-small cell lung carcinoma, RXF-393 human renal cancer cell, MCF-7 human breast cancer were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum and 2% (w/v) L-glutamine, at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub>. Only the exponentially growing cell cultures with viability > 95% (confirmed by trypan blue exclusion) were used for experiments.

# **2.6 Cytotoxic Analysis**

Cells were seeded in microtiter plates consisting of 96 wells and stabilized for 24 hours. After they were treated for 72 hours with serial concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 mg/mL of crude extract, chloroform, ethyl acetate and butanol fractions or scopoletin. Cellular responses were determined using the sulforodamine B (SRB) assay, involving fixing with trichloroacetic acid, staining with SRB and a colorimetric evaluation at a wavelength of 540 nm [12]. Absorbance values were used to determine the potential for cell growth inhibition by the  $IC_{50}$  values (the minimum concentration required to inhibit 50% of cell growth). The antineoplastic agent etoposide was used as a positive control.

# **2.7 Evaluation of Oxidative Damage**

The NCI-H460 human non-small cell lung carcinoma (more sensitive to treatment with scopoletin) was evaluated for the induction of oxidative damage by lipid peroxidation [13]. For this purpose, cells were treated with the  $IC_{50}$ dose of scopoletin (19.1  $\pm$  2.4  $\mu$ g/mL) for 72 hours. This experiment was performed in triplicate. After the treatment, cells were washed with PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4), scraped from culture flasks in cold PBS and homogenized. The homogenates were immediately centrifuged  $(15,000 \times g, 30 \text{ min}, 4\degree\text{C})$  and the supernatants used for the evaluation of lipid peroxidation and protein concentration determined by the Bradford method [14]. Oxidative damage by lipid peroxidation was estimated by the formation of thiobarbituric acid reactive species (TBARS), using as a standard, a solution of TMP (1,1,3,3 tetramethoxypropane). Briefly, each sample was added with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 15% thiobarbituric acid (TBA) 0.67%. The mixture was stirred, heated to 100°C for 30 min and cooled at room temperature. It was, then, centrifuged (3000 x g, 10 min) and the top fraction was quantified on a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 532 nm. The concentration of TBARS obtained was expressed in nmol/mg of protein.

#### **2.8 Statistical Analyses**

For the statistical analysis, paired Student's t-test was used.  $p < 0.05$  was considered to be statistically significant. All analysis were performed with GraphPad Instat (version 3.05; GraphPad Software Inc.; San Diego, CA, USA).

#### **3. RESULTS**

# **3.1 Phytochemistry Analysis**

Phytochemical analysis of aerial parts of Eupatorium laevigatum detected the presence of flavonoids, saponins and coumarins. Anthraquinones, tannins, alkaloids, cardiotonic glycosides, however, have not been found. Conducting the assay allowed us to determine the content of total flavonoids to be 2.74 g/dL of total phenolics and to be 5.52 g/dL of pyrogallol.

#### **3.2 Structure Elucidation of Eupatorium laevigatum Major Compound**

From the analysis by thin layer chromatography of the fractions obtained it was possible to detect in the ethyl acetate fraction a major compound. Subsequently, this product was isolated by preparative chromatography on silica gel and submitted for analysis by nuclear magnetic resonance carbon (RMN<sup>13</sup>C) and hydrogen  $(RMN<sup>1</sup>H)$ . The isolated compound was identified as a coumarin. Based on the signals present in the spectra obtained from RMN13C and RMN1H (Table 1) and data from the literature [15] it is possible to identify the isolated compound (P1) as coumarin scopoletin.

#### **3.3 Cytotoxicity**

The cytotoxic effects of the crude extract and the fraction from E. laevigatum was evaluated in four cancer cell lines (HT-29, NCI-H460, MCF-7, and RXF-393). The crude extract and the fractions did not demonstrate cytotoxicity in the cell lines tested (Table 2).

Nevertheless, the effect of isolated coumarin scopoletin varied among the cell lines tested (Table 2). NCI-H460, was the most sensitive cell line (IC $_{50}$  value of 19.1  $\mu$ g/mL), whereas, RXF-393 demonstrated an  $IC_{50}$  value of 23.3 µg/mL. These  $IC_{50}$  values can be compared to the chemotherapeutic agent etoposide (Table 2).

#### **3.4 Evaluation of the Oxidative Damage**

The NCI-H460 human non-small cell lung carcinoma (more sensitive to treatment with scopoletin) was evaluated for the induction of oxidative damage by lipid peroxidation. TBARS assay did not show an increase in lipid peroxidation when compared to the control medium samples obtained from cells treated with  $IC_{50}$  (19.1  $\pm$  2.4 µg/mL) of scopoletin (Fig. 1). In cell samples, it was obtained values for the lipid peroxidation of TBARS of 2.5 nmol/mg of protein in the untreated control and TBARS of 3.2 nmol/mg of protein in treated cells. However, this difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 1).

#### **4. DISCUSSION**

It is well established that plants are a useful source of clinically relevant antitumor compounds [1,2]. Coumarin scopoletin is a pharmacologically active agent, which has been isolated from several plant species such as Erycibe obtusifolia, Aster tataricus, Foeniculum vulgare, Artemisia capillaris, among others [16,17,18] and have shown cytotoxic effect on tumor lymphocytes [19], in the lymphocytic leukemia P-388 cell line [20], capability of inhibiting the proliferation of cancer cells, apoptosis induction in cases of benign prostatic hyperplasia, and the ability to produce these effects in human cancer cell lines [21,22]. Therefore, we evaluated the antitumor activity and oxidative damage of scopoletin obtained of E. laevigatum against four types of human cancer cell lines. To our knowledge this is the first time that scopoletin was identified in E. laevigatum.

#### **Table 1. Spectral data of RMN<sup>1</sup>H e RMN<sup>13</sup>C of P1 and scopoletin**



\*Chemical data of the scopoletin (Vasconcelos et al., 1998) [17]







#### **Fig. 1. Average values of substances that react to thiobarbituric acid (TBARS) in the non-small cells lung carcinoma cellular lineage treated with H-460 scopoletin for 72 h. The results are expressed as average** ± **standard deviation (n = 9)**

The antiproliferative activity of the crude extract, fractions of E. laevigatum and scopoletin was evaluated in this study in four tumor cell lines, HT-29, NCI-H460, MCF-7, and RXF-393. The crude extract and fractions showed no cytotoxic activity. This can occur because the crude extract and its fractions present a small concentration of coumarin scopoletin, which is probably less than the amount needed to induce cytotoxicity. Others species belonging to the genus Eupatorium that also presents scopoletin [23,24], might, however, present this compound in a concentration high enough to induce cytotoxicity. As for coumarin scopoletin, the antineoplasic agent etoposide, showed different patterns of cytotoxicity on the cell lines tested. The comparison of the  $IC_{50}$  values demonstrated that the NCI-H-460 cells showed the highest sensitivity to scopoletin, when compared to the other cell lines. The cytotoxic activity observed in this study is consistent with a previous study, which reports that scopoletin showed different sensitivities in different tumor cell lines [21].

Coumarins have been described for several activities including anticancer, antioxidant, antiinflammatory, analgesic and anticoagulant [25]. Recently this secondary metabolite has been reported to inhibit cell proliferation interfering with the function of microtubules, inhibiting the activity of metalloproteinases, blocking cell cycle, interfering with cell signaling and inducing oxidative damage [26]. Other studies shows that scopoletin may have antineoplasic effect by inducing apoptosis, such as the work performed by Liu et al. [21], which showed that scopoletin inhibits the proliferation of PC3 prostate cancer cell line by inducing apoptosis.

Lipid peroxidation is an evidence of injury caused by free radicals in biological systems [27,28]. The level of lipid peroxidation may be estimated by determination of TBARS. In this regard, we evaluated the oxidative damage caused by scopoletin on NCI-H-460 cells (more sensitive), both in the culture medium and cells, and compared to their respective untreated controls. Our data suggest that the cytotoxic effect of scopoletin is not associated with oxidative damage. It has shown that at lower doses, the scopoletin can even act as antioxidant [29]. In recent studies, the antioxidant properties were found for some compounds of this chemical class of coumarins [30,31,32]. In the study conducted by Lin et al. [31] the results suggest that the number of hydroxyl groups in the ring structure of coumarins is correlated with the effects of removal of reactive oxygen species.

The study performed by Tyagi et al. (2005) showed that the amino group is an effective substitute for the hydroxyl group to produce antioxidant and a dramatic inhibition of lipid peroxidation. It is demonstrated that orthodihydroxy and orthohydroxy-amino coumarins have higher antioxidant activity. When evaluating the ability of these coumarins protective against oxidative damage in a simple model membrane Morabito et al. [32] describe 4 methylcoumarins can be considered as potential candidates for therapeutics for pathological conditions characterized by excessive production of free radicals.

# **5. CONCLUSION**

In summary, the results of the current study detected the presence of scopoletin in  $\vec{E}$ . laevigatum and that this compound induces cytotoxicity in NCI-H460 and RXF-393 cell lines. Although the findings of this study cannot provide a mechanistic explanation for this phenomenon, it is suggested that the cytotoxic effect of scopoletin is no related to oxidative damage. Further studies are needed to better understand the role that coumarin scopoletin plays as an anticancer drug.

# **CONSENT**

It is not applicable.

# **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

It is not applicable.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Da Rocha AB, Lopes RM, Schwartsmann G. Natural products in anticancer therapy. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2001;1:364-369.
- 2. Mans DRA, da Rocha AB, Schwartsmann G. Anti-cancer drug discovery and development in Brazil: Targeted plant collection as a rational strategy to acquire candidate anticancer compounds. The Oncologist. 2000;5:185-198.
- 3. Schmidt JG, Schilling EE. Phylogeny and biogeography of Eupatorium (Asteraceae: Eupatorieae) based on nuclear ITS sequence data. Am. J. Bot. 2000;87:716- 726.
- 4. Paulo Filho W, Ribeiro JE, Pinto DS. Safety and efficacy of Eupatorium laevigatum paste as therapy for buccal aphthae: Randomized, double-blind

comparison with triamcinolone 0.1% orabase. Adv. Ther. 2000;6:272-281.

- 5. Monks NR, Ferraz A, Bordignon SAL, Machado KR, Lima MFS, da Rocha AB, Schwartsmann G. In vitro cytotoxicity of extracts from Brazilian asteraceae. Pharm. Biol. 2002;40:494–500.
- 6. Schossler P, Schneider GL, Wunsch D, Soares GLG, Zini CA. Volatile compounds of Baccharis punctulata, Baccharis dracunculifolia and Eupatorium laevigatum obtained using solid phase microextraction and hydrodistillation. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2009;20:277-287.
- 7. Urzua A, Caroli M, Vasquez L, Mendoza L, Wilkens M, Tojo E. Antimicrobial study of the resinous exudate and of diterpenoids isolated from Eupatorium salvia (Asteraceae). J. Ethnopharmacol. 1998;62: 251-254.
- 8. El-Seedi HR, Ohara T, Sata N, Nishiyama S. Antimicrobial diterpenoids from Eupatorium glutinosum (Asteraceae). J. Ethnopharmacol. 2002;81:293-296.
- 9. Miño J, Muschietti L, Ferraro G, Martino V, Acevedo C. Antinociceptive activity of Eupatorium buniifolium aqueous extract. Fitoterapia. 2005;76:100-103.
- 10. Schmidt C, Fronza M, Goettert M, Geller F, Luik S, Flores EM, et al. Biological studies on Brazilian plants used in wound healing. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2009;122:523- 532.
- 11. Harborne JB. Phytochemical Methods. A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis. Chapmann and Hall, London; 1984.
- 12. Skehan P, Storeng R, Scudiero D, Monks A, McMahon J, et al. New colorimetric cytotoxicity assay for anticancer-drug screening. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1990;82: 1107-1112.
- 13. Esterbauer H, Cheeseman KH. Determination of aldehydic lipid peroxidation products: Malonaldehyde and<br>4-hydroxynonenal. Methods Enzymol. 4-hydroxynonenal. Methods 1990;186:407-421.
- 14. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Ann. Biochem. 1976; 72:248-254
- 15. Vasconcelos JMJ, Silva MAS, Cavaleiro JAS. Chromones and flavanones from Artemisia campestris Subsp. Maritima. Phytochemistry. 1998;49:1421-1424.

Grivicich et al.; BJPR, 13(4): 1-7, 2016; Article no.BJPR.29662

- 16. Ding Z, Dai Y, Wang Z. Hypouricemic action of scopoletin arising from xanthine oxidase nhibition and uricosuric activity. Planta Med. 2005;71:183-185.
- 17. Jung HA, Park JJ, Islam MN, Jin SE, Min BS, Lee JH, et al. Inhibitory activity of coumarins from Artemisia capillaris against advanced glycation endproduct formation. Arch. Pharm. Res. 2012;35:1021-1035.
- 18. Cai X, Yang J, Zhou J, Lu W, Hu C, et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of scopoletin derivatives. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2013;21:84-92.
- 19. Manuele MG, Ferraro G, Barreiro Arcos ML, López P, Cremaschi G, et al. Comparative immunomodulatory effect of scopoletin on tumoral and normal lymfhocytes. Life Sci. 2006;79:2043-2048.
- 20. Cassady JM, Ojima N, Chang C, McLaughlin JL. An investigation of the antitumor activity of Micromelum integerrimum (Rutaceae). J. Nat. Prod. 1979;42:274-278.
- 21. Liu XL, Zhang L, Fu XL, Chen K, Qian BC. Effect of scopoletin on PC3 proliferation and apoptosis. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2001;22:929-933.
- 22. Khuda-Bukhsh AR, Bhattacharya SS, Paul S, Boujedaini N. Polymeric nanoparticle encapsulation of a naturally occurring plant scopoletin and its effects on human melanoma cell A375. J. Chin. Integ. Med. 2010;8:853-862.
- 23. Muschietti L, Gorzalczany S, Ferraro G, Acevedo C, Martino V. Phenolic compounds with anti-inflammatory activity from Eupatorium buniifolium. Planta Med. 2001;67:743-744.
- 24. Zhang ML, Wu M, Zhang JJ, Irwin D, Gu YC, Shi QW. Chemical constituents of plants from the genus Eupatorium. Chem. Biodivers. 2008;5:40-55.
- 25. Prachayasittikul S, Worachartcheewan A, Lawung R, Ruchirawat S, Prachayasittikul V. Bioactive metabolites from Spilanthes acmella Murr. Molecules. 2009;14:850- 867.
- 26. Wu L, Wang X, Xu W, Farzaneh F, Xu R. The structure and pharmacological functions of coumarins and their derivatives. Curr. Med. Chem. 2009;16: 4236-4260.
- 27. de Zwart LL, Meerman JH, Commandeur JN, Vermeulen NP. Biomarkers of free radical damage applications in experimental animals and in humans. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 1999;26:202-226.
- 28. Höhn A, Jung T, Grune T. Pathophysiological importance of aggregated damaged proteins. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2014;71:70-89
- 29. Witaicenis A, Seito LN, da Silveira Chagas A, de Almeida Jr LD, Luchini AC, Rodrigues-Orsi P, et al. Antioxidant and intestinal anti-inflammatory effects of plant-derived coumarin derivatives. Phytomedicine. 2014;21:240-246.
- 30. Tyagi YK, Kumar A, Raj HG, Vohra P, Gupta G, Kumari R, et al. Synthesis of novel amino and acetyl-amino-4 methylcoumarins and evaluation of their antioxidant activity. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2005;40:413-420.
- 31. Lin HC, Tsai SH, Chen CS, Chang YC, Lee CM, Lai ZY, et al. Structure-activity relationship of coumarin derivatives on xanthine oxidase-inhibiting and free radical-scavenging activities. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2008;75:1416-1425.
- 32. Morabito G, Trombetta D, Brajendra KS, Prasad Ashok K, Parmar Virinder S, Naccari C, et al. Antioxidant properties of 4-methylcoumarins in in vitro cell-free systems. Biochimie. 2010;92:1101-1107.

© 2016 Grivicich et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/16551